Primary biliary cystadenocarcinoma mimicking a complicated hydatid cyst
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Rezumat

Chistadenocarcinomul ficatului este o neoplazie rară cu origine în epiteliul hepatobiliar. Localizarea primară a acestei tumori este în general intrahepatică la nivelul lobului drept. Prezentăm cazul unui pacient de 53 ani cu un chistadenocarcinom intrahepatic aflat în urmărire pentru chist hidatic hepatic de 8 ani. El a fost admis în centrul nostru cu icter și scăderea apetitului. Ecografia și tomografia computerizată evidențiază o leziune chistică asemănătoare cu un chist hidatic stadiu II. Rezonanța magnetică, relevă leziunea chistică deschisă în arborele biliar. Laparotomia exploratorie a relevat infiltrații peritoneală care histopatologic a fost chistadenocarcinom biliar. Din nefericire diagnosticul corect a fost tardiv și managementul curativ nu a fost posibil.
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Introduction

Cystadenocarcinoma of the liver is a rare neoplasm that originates from hepatobiliary epithelium.(1) Primary location of this tumor is generally intrahepatic and most cases are in the right hepatic lobe.(2) Herein we present a case of intrahepatic cystadenocarcinoma in a 53-year-old man who had been followed up for 8 years as hydatic cyst disease of the liver. He was admitted to our hospital with jaundice and loss of appetite. Ultrasound and computed tomography showed a cystic lesion that looked like type II cyst hidatic. Thereafter magnetic resonance imaging revealed a cystic lesion associated with biliary tree. On diagnostic laparotomy peritoneal infiltrations were observed and pathologic examination revealed a biliary cystadenocarcinoma and peritonitis carcinomatosa was diagnosed. Unfortunately correct diagnosis was extremely late and no curative management was possible.

Case Report

A 53 year-old-man who had been followed up for 8 years as hydatic cyst disease of the liver was admitted to our hospital with the complaint of jaundice, loss of appetite, pallid stool and urine darkness. The patient first applied to the hospital because of intermittent abdominal pain in the year 2000. Ultrasonography (US) and computed tomography (CT) was performed and a cystic mass was detected. Serological markers for hydatic disease were negative. An operation was
proposed for this mass which was thought as a cyst hydatic by the radiologists, but the patient declined. So the patient was being followed up with US and CT which were performed for three times from 2000 to 2008 and all of them showed a cystic mass that was described as a cyst hydatic.

The patient’s complaints were increasing for the last 3 months when he was last admitted to our hospital. On physical examination a mass about 5 cm in size was palpated in upper right quadrant of abdomen. Blood tests revealed mild anemia (11.8 g/dL), hyperbilirubinemia (total bilirubin: 2.86 mg/dl, direct bilirubin: 1.6 mg/dl), elevated liver function tests (LDH: 422 UI/L, AST: 162 IU/L, ALT: 84 IU/L, GGT: 84 IU/L, ALP: 1680 IU/L) and moderate leucocytosis (WBC: 15.4x10^3). Serological markers for hydatic disease were still negative. Serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and CA19-9 were all within normal limits.

Hepatobiliary US showed a mass 5 x 7 cm in size that looked like type II cyst hidatic. CT showed a cyst in the right liver lobe with a largest diameter of 8 cm and this cyst was suspected as hydatic cyst (Fig. 1). The next step consisted of MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) and MRCP (magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography) due to suspicion of hydatic cyst perforating biliary tree. MRI and MRCP showed moderate hepatomegaly with a cystic lesion with solid components and septated wall in right hepatic lobe, 8.5 x 7 cm in size with irregularity in shape and compressing adjacent bile ducts, dilatation of right intrahepatic biliary tree and perihilar minimal fluid level. Common hepatic duct could not be visualized but paraceliac, portocaval, periportal and para-aortic multiple lymphadenopathy were seen (Fig. 2-3).

He underwent a subcostal laparotomy with a suspicion of complicated hydatic cyst. A tumoral lesion of 8-9 cm in size in right hepatic lobe was found. Moderate ascitis and disseminated metastatic implants were also detected on omentum and Douglas’ cavity. Biopsy from these lesions was done and frozen sectioning study revealed malignancy implants. Advanced pathologic studies documented biliary cystadenocarcinoma.

Discussion

Hepatobiliary cystadenomas and cystadenocarcinomas are rare neoplasm. While they constitute less than 5% of all solitary nonparasitic liver cysts, the incidence of biliary cystadenocarcinoma among hepatic malignant epithelial tumors has been reported to be as low as 0.41% (1,2). Biliary cystadenocarcinoma arise from an intra-extrahepatic bile duct or premalignant cystadenoma. However malignant transformation of biliary cystadenomas has been described in about 25% of cases. Primary location of this tumor is generally intrahepatic and most cases are located near the hepatic hilum, particularly in segment 4 (3).

Cystadenocarcinomas are usually detected incidentally while diagnostic imaging for other complaints. Most of these patients are asymptomatic until the tumor reaches a considerable size and this time most commonly reported symptoms are right abdominal pain, abdominal swelling, palpable mass, anorexia and nausea. Rarely, patients present with jaundice secondary to obstruction or compression of the biliary system (4,5).

Common laboratory findings are hyperbilirubinemia, increased serum level of ALT, AST, GGT and ALP. Some authors have suggested that CA19-9 serum levels can be increased significantly in patients with cystadenocarcinoma (6,7), but some authors also have reported that there are no distinct tumor markers (4,5). We also detected normal serum level of tumor markers.

Abdominal CT, US and MRI are the most useful diagnostic measures for these neoplasm. While ultrasound usually reveals a cystic lesion, CT may be helpful to see mural nodules or wall thickness that should increase the suspicion of cystadenocarcinoma (8). Nevertheless MRI in combination with MRCP is a helpful technique to determine the diagnosis and the extension of hepatobiliary cystic tumors (9). But it is not always possible to accurately distinguish biliary cystadeno-
carcinoma from other hepatic cysts such as hydatic cyst pre-operatively. So that histopathological examination is required for definitive diagnosis.

The use of percutaneous biopsy for this aim is not adequate due to peritoneal dissemination in case of malignancy (4). Biopsy is done with laparoscopy or open abdominal surgery for correct diagnosis.

Serologic tests of hydatid disease are very important in the diagnosis and should not underestimated by clinicians. Our patient had been followed up for 8 years as hydatid cyst disease of the liver although serologic tests was negative. Because of this, investigators should suspect a cystadenomatous tumor when negative serologic tests are combined with a liver cyst in countries with a high prevalence of hydatid disease. Complete surgical resection is the recommended therapy for such lesions. Clinically these tumors can be observed incidentally at laparoscopic or open abdominal surgery. Although abdominal CT, USG and MRCP are the most useful diagnostic measures for these neoplasm, excisional biopsy is needed to confirm the diagnosis.
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Figure 3. MRCP appearance of the mass association with intrahepatic biliary tree