Rezumat

Indicații ale metodei de rezonanță magnetică în patologia sânului

Este cunoscută incidența crescută a cancerului de sân. Rata de supraviețuire la 5 ani pentru femeile cu cancer de sân corect tratate este de 90% în lume, respectiv de 50% în România. Evaluarea diagnostică corectă a sănilor permite un management terapeutic competent. Diagnosticul primar al cancerului de sân include examenul clinic al sănilor, ecografia de sân și mamografia. Examenul de rezonanță magnetică (RM) nu este o examinare de prima linie, ci trebuie să se facă țintit, pentru anumite grupuri selectate de paciente. Examenul RM este indicat în: screeningul cancerului de sân la femeile cu risc crescut pentru cancerul de sân și în cazurile cu diagnostic echivoc sau cu diagnostice contradictorii la ecografie – mamografie și la pacientele cu sânii denși mamografici. Examenul RM mamar este cea mai bună metodă imagistică în aprecierea dimensiunilor reale ale tumorii inițiale, în detecția leziunilor multifoacale și multicentrice și a leziunilor reziduale nodulare axilare post-lumpectomie. De asemenea, examenul RM este recomandat pentru stadializarea preoperatorie a cancerului de sân, pentru evaluarea săului operat și pentru evaluarea tratamentului chimioterapeutic. Evaluarea preoperatorie RM a săului scade numărul intervențiilor chirurgicale necesare pentru a obține margini de resecție chirurgicală negative, scade ratele de recurență ale cancerului de sân și crește speranța de viață pe termen lung (supraviețuirea). RM este o metodă potențială pentru monitorizarea răspunsurilor precoce în timpul terapiei neoadjuvante, identificând tumorile chimiorezistente și permite direcționarea re-exciziei, precum și identificarea tumorilor reziduale după terminarea curei de terapie neoadjuvantă. Examenul este foarte util în diagnosticarea patologiei mamare la bărbat și
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The high incidence of breast cancer is a known fact. The 5-year survival rate for women with correctly treated breast cancer is 90% around the world, and 50% in Romania, respectively. Correct diagnostic evaluation of the breasts allows for a competent therapeutic management. The primary diagnosis of breast cancer includes clinical examination of the breasts, breast ultrasound and mammography. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination is not a first line type of investigation, but targeted to selected patient groups. MRI exam is indicated in: screening of breast cancer in women at high risk for breast cancer, in cases with equivocal diagnosis or with contradictory diagnoses at mammography-ultrasound examinations, and in patients with mammographically dense breasts. Mammary MRI exam is the best imaging method in assessing the real dimensions of the initial tumour, in the detection of multifocal and multicentric lesions and of nodular axillary post-lumpectomy lesions. Also, MRI exam is recommended for preoperative breast cancer staging, for assessment of the breast operated on, and for evaluating chemotherapy. Preoperative MRI assessment of the breast reduces the number of surgical interventions required to obtain surgical negative resection margins, decreases breast cancer recurrence rates, and increases long-term life expectancy (survival). MRI is a potential method for monitoring early responses during neoadjuvant therapy, identifying chemoresistant tumours, and allowing guided re-excision, as well as identifying residual tumours after completion of the neoadjuvant therapy course. The exam is very useful in diagnosing mammary pathology in men and is recommended prior to augmentation or reconstructive breast surgery, to appreciate the integrity of the breast implant.
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primary diagnosis of breast cancer includes breast examination, breast ultrasound and mammography and has a sensitivity of 99%. The sensitivity of mammography is 60% and of ultrasound is 83% (1).

Magnetic Resonance (MR) has proven to be a more accurate method, illustrating with finesse and accuracy the size and vascularization of the tumor, both for circumscribed nodular lesions of “mass” type, but also for infiltrative lesions, imprecisely delimited, also called “non-mass lesions” (2,3). Retrospective studies have shown that mammary MR exam detects other malignant lesions in addition to the primary imaging diagnosis in the ipsilateral breast (in a percentage between 10% and 27% (4,5). Ocular, contralateral, synchronous breast cancer is also diagnosed in ~ 3% of the patients (6).

MR is recommended in preoperative staging for the following subgroups of patients (7) (Fig. 1):
• recently diagnosed breast cancer patients,
• patients who have dense breast tissue at mammography,
• for invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC), ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS),
• in nipple pathology,
• for patients with indication of non-adjuvant chemotherapy.

**Recently Diagnosed Breast Cancer Patients**

Ductal carcinoma in-situ (DCIS) may be a unifocal or multifocal lesion, rarely multicenter. 14-75% of DCIS progresses into invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC). Both invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) and tumors that associate DCIS are often underestimated in...
16 – 47% of DCIS does not show microcalcifications. It is difficult to obtain negative surgical resection margins in conservative breast therapy for these tumors (8,9). Several recent studies have shown that the MR exam is superior to mammography in DCIS and ILC detection, with 92% sensitivity to DCIS detection with and without calcifications, compared to mammography that has a sensitivity of 56% (10,11). These patients may benefit from a much more accurate assessment of the preoperative disease extension using magnetic resonance.

ILC non-mass infiltrative lesions may be dimensionally underestimated in primary imaging assessments of ultrasound or mammography, which explains the increased incidence of cases with positive surgical resection margins in conservative breast treatment. The sensitivity of mammography for ILC detection was reported between 34-81%, being inversely correlated with breast mammography. The sensitivity of MR for ILC is 93-96% (1,12) (Fig. 3).

Dillon et al. reported that positive surgical resection margins appear in approximately 50% of patients who were diagnosed with ILC and did not undergo preoperative MRI, compared with 25% of ILC patients who underwent an MR examination prior to surgical intervention. (3).

**Tumors Located in the Posterior Breast**

Tumors located in the posterior breast are difficult to be fully evaluated mammographically. Muscular invasion is difficult to detect by ultrasound. MR exam can be useful in detecting the tumor invasion of the preoperative muscle and anterior thoracic wall. Tumor invasion is identified by pathological contrast enhancement of pectoral muscles, either infiltrative or as focal lesion. Deletion of adipose tissue between the tumor and muscle and extension of vascular structures from the tumor to the muscle without associated pathological contrast enhancement does not indicate tumor invasion (13).

There are studies that reported the identification of primary ocular breast cancer through the MR exam in 62-86% of patients. Often the primary tumors identified have less than 2 cm
in diameter (14) (Fig. 4).

The occult tumor identified in the collateral breast is present in 6% of recently diagnosed ILC patients and 3% among IDC patients (L6).

**The Nipple Secretion or Retraction**

The nipple secretion or retraction is a new indication for magnetic resonance breast evaluation. The nipple secretion occurs both for...
physiological and pathological reasons. The secretion of hormonal physiological causes (hypothyroidism, pituitary adenoma with hyperprolactinemia, etc.) or in a medical context is bilateral, from several ducts and looks milky or greenly. Pathological secretion can occur in the presence of a papilloma (48%), ductal ectasia (15% - 20%) or in carcinoma (1 - 45%), is spontaneous, sanguine, unilateral, located in a single duct.

In the Figs. 5, 6 are presented various cases that associate unilateral nipple secretion, some associating also nipple retraction.

Dense mammography breasts hide malignant lesions and limit the correct assessment of disease outbreaks. The sensitivity of mammography decreases proportionally with increasing breast density, while breast density does not affect the sensitivity of magnetic resonance (1) (Fig. 7).

A new controversial indication of MR examination suggested by EUSOMA in 2012 is the hypervascularized/borderline BIRADS 3 lesions (Fig. 8).

The pre-operative assessment of the MRI breast exam offers important benefits: provides better surgical therapeutic management, decreases the number of surgical interventions required to achieve negative surgical resection margins, lowers recurrence rates of breast cancer, and improves/increases long-term life expectancy (survival).
Indications of the Magnetic Resonance Method in Breast Pathology

Evaluation of Chemotherapy

Patients with axillary metastases and those in advanced stages of local disease can receive poli-chimio-therapy (PCT). In some cases, PCT treatment is administered to decrease the size of the preoperative tumor to provide breast preservation. Studies in patients who have a complete post-chemotherapy pathological response, defined by the absence of a...
residual lesion in the pathological specimen, have shown that they have better survival/success results (15).

Breast MR is the best imaging method in assessing the actual size of the initial tumor, as well as identifying residual tumors after the end of the neoadjuvant therapy. The MR examination may overestimate or underestimate the residual lesion, but a more accurate correlation of the MR outcome with the 71-90% pathological specimens was demonstrated, compared with the clinical examination (with accuracy of 19%-60%), ultrasound (35-75%) and mammography (26-70%) (16) (Table 2).

MR in Neoadjuvant Therapy

- MR is the best imaging method to assess tumor changes before and after treatment offering;
- Excellent contrast: tumor/normal tissue;
- Assessment of tumor kinetics: post-contrast;
- Differentiation between necrosis/fibrosis;
- ADC standard measures easy comparison anytime.

MRI is a potential method for monitoring early responses during neoadjuvant therapy by determining diffusion coefficients between lesions prior to PCT treatment, in the middle of the treatment and after PCT treatment, identifying chemo-sensitive tumors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. MR in neoadjuvant therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- MR – best imaging method to assess tumor changes before and after treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Excellent contrast: tumor/normal tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Assessment of tumor kinetics: post-contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Differentiation between necrosis/fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ADC standard measures easy comparison anytime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operated Breast

Residual microscopic disease at the level of surgical resection margins is known. Patients treated with conservative therapy with positive resection margins are indicative of a new surgical excision. Mastectomy is usually recommended if the resection margins remain positive. Breast MRI in these patients performed early is helpful in identifying the extent of residual disease. The appearance of MR is a peripheral nodular or nodular contrast load, the evidence of this gadofilia being useful in surgical planning for re-excision, allowing prospective identification of patients eventually requiring mastectomy. The goal of MRI is to detect the presence of multifocal and multicenter lesions as well as to detect residual nodular post-lumpectomy axillary lesions to allow re-excision targeting (Fig. 9).

The sensitivity of the MR to the evidence of outstanding lesions in the resection plan is of 92%, the specificity of 69%, the positive...
predictive value is of 89%. Granulation tissue may increase in the immediate postoperative period. The lowest number of false-positive results was found when MR was performed 35-42 days after the surgical intervention.

**Axillary Lymph Node Metastasis with Unknown Primary Tumor**

Patients with axillary metastasis without any evidence of breast cancer at physical examination or mammography represent less than 1% of all cases of breast carcinoma. The importance of identifying a malignant tumor is two times higher. This gives the patient the option of conservative breast therapy instead of mastectomy; the procedure usually occurs when the primary tumor is not identified. Also, hormonal and chemotherapeutic treatments may be provided when histology of the primary tumor is known. Breast MRI is strongly indicated for these patients.

**Augmentation of Reconstruction**

**Integrity of the Silicone Breast Implant**

Unlike the saline implant rupture, which is readily observable at the physical examination, the rupture of the silicone implant can be difficult to identify. The MR exam is the imaging method that allows detection of the silicone implant rupture, the method having a sensitivity of 78-100% and a specificity of 63-91% (17,18). Silicone is hyperintensive in T2 weighting in IR recovery inversion with long TE echo time. Intracapsular implant rupture occurs when the implant walls degrade, but silicone remains trapped in a body-shaped fibrous capsule. Deterioration of the implant walls is highlighted in the MR by the “linguine sign” and the keyhole sign”. Extracapsular rupture occurs when free silicone fragments pass beyond the fibrous capsule into the breast or axilla (Fig. 10).

**Breast Cancer Screening**

Mammographic screening is the only imaging modality that has been shown to decrease breast cancer mortality in the general population. Breast MR allows detection of an increased number of small malignant nodular or non-malignant lesions in women with high risk for breast cancer and is a useful screening tool when used in women with high risk for breast cancer (18). However, due to limited specificity and high cost, the MR exam is not suitable for screening the general population. The ACS recommended the annual screening of the MR mammary exam for high-risk women including:

- Women with BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene
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mutations and their untested first degree relatives:
  • Patients with thoracic radiation between
    the ages of 10 and 30 years;
  • People with certain associated syn-
    dromes predisposing to breast cancer;
  • Patients with a lifetime risk of more than
    20-25% determined by risk models
    (women with relatives of first grade with
    breast or ovarian cancer).

There is insufficient evidence for and
against MRI screening for intermediate risk
women, including:
  • Women with 15-20% risk of breast cancer
    defined by risk models;
  • Patients previously diagnosed with atypia
    or lobular carcinoma in situ;
  • Patients with dense mammographic
    breasts, or
  • Patients with a history of breast cancer.

**Equivocal Diagnosis Ultrasound - Mammography**

Using Breast MR as a tool for solving cases
with equivocal or controversial ultrasound –
mammography is controversial. The MR
should not be performed to avoid biopsy of a
mammographic, ultrasound suspicion or of a
palpable lesion *(Fig. 11).*

Studies with large batches of patients with
equivocal mammography diagnostic results
revealed a sensitivity of the MR exam of 100%
and a specificity of 92%. The negative predictive
value of the MR in determining the diagnosis
for suspicious or uncertain lesions in mammog-
raphy or ultrasound is 85% and does not rule
out the need for a biopsy *(19).*

**Conclusions**

Breast MR is a valuable imaging tool com-
plementary to first-line imaging examinations, i.e.
the ultrasound and mammography. Because of
the high cost and limited specificity, it is
necessary to use the MR breast exam as indicated.
Breast MR is the best imaging method in
assessing the accurate tumor extension as well as
detecting residual tumors after the end of the
PCT cure. The MR exam has the role of
detecting multifocal and multicenter lesions,
non-mass infiltrative lesions, occult lesions, or
post-lymphadenectomy residual axillary
lesions. The exam is very useful for young
women with dense breasts and increased risk
factors for breast cancer, in breast implant
assessment and diagnosis of mammalian
pathology in men.

The pre-operative assessment of the
MRI breast exam offers important benefits:
provides better surgical therapeutic manage-
ment, decreases the number of surgical
interventions, lowers recurrence rates of breast
cancer being useful in surgical planning for
re-excision allowing for prospective identifi-
cation of patients which eventually require a
mastectomy. Finally, the MR exam improves
/increases long-term life expectancy (sur-
vival).
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