Peritoneal Encapsulation - A Rare Cause of Small Bowel Obstruction
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Résumé

L’encapsulation peritoneale (IP) est une anomalie anatomique rare qui se produit en raison d’un sac peritoneal accessoire qui recouvre le tube digestif et peut causer des douleurs abdominales chroniques et récurrentes, ainsi que des obstructions intestinales élevées, le plus souvent chez les enfants ou les patients sans antécédents chirurgicaux. Le diagnostic positif est généralement intraopératoire, mais récemment, il a été suggéré la possibilité de diagnostic imagistique, par une analyse minutieuse de l’IRM abdominale préopératoire. Nous présentons le cas d’un patient de 21 ans hospitalisé pour une douleur abdominale intense, une distension abdominale asymétrique, une présence de niveaux hydro-aeriques sur les radiographies abdominales, mais sans cause identifiée par l’IRM. Une intervention chirurgicale d’urgence a été effectuée et la lésion de l’encapsulation peritoneale a été découverte, avec l’exérèse de son sac. L’évolution postopératoire a été favorable. L’examen histologique de la pièce a confirmé le diagnostic. IP est parfois diagnostiqué erronément comme une scléroperitonite encapsulée ou un cocon abdominal, mais il s’agit d’une affection avec une fréquence de récurrence beaucoup plus faible et très rare complications postopératoires, qui peut être traitée avec succès si le chirurgien tient compte cette affection lors de la différenciation diagnostique.
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Abstract
Peritoneal encapsulation (PE) is a rare anatomic anomaly which occurs due to an accessory peritoneal sac covering the small bowel which can cause chronic recurrent abdominal pain and even small bowel obstruction, most often in children or patients with no previous surgical history. The diagnosis is usually made during surgery, but recently it has been suggested that mindful examination of the abdominal CT may be helpful in considering PE beforehand. We present the case of a 21-year old patient who was admitted due to intense abdominal pain, asymmetrical abdominal distension, air fluid levels on the abdominal X-ray, but no specific findings on the abdominal CT. He underwent emergency surgery and PE was found and the peritoneal sac was excised. The post-operative course was uneventful. Histopathologic examination of the specimen confirmed the diagnosis. PE is often misdiagnosed as abdominal cocoon or sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis, but it is a pathology with a much lower rate of recurrence and postoperative complications, which can be treated successfully if the surgeon is aware of this pathology when making the differential diagnosis.
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Introduction
First reported by Cleland in 1868 (1), peritoneal encapsulation is an abnormal secondary peritoneal sac that encompasses the entire small intestine and communicates with the bigger peritoneal cavity through a small aperture at the ileocecal junction. Very few cases have been reported in literature, the diagnosis usually being made during surgery, with some authors suggesting more recently that a preoperative CT diagnosis is possible (2).

We report a rare case of acute small bowel obstruction in a 21-year old male with no significant medical history, no previous abdominal surgeries and no previously diagnosed hereditary conditions.

Case report
A 21-year old man was brought to the emergency room complaining of intense diffuse abdominal pain that had debuted 12 hours prior associated with non-bilious vomiting and the absence of bowel movements for 4 days. At admission the patient had no fever and the vital signs were normal.

The physical examination revealed moderate abdominal distension, slightly asymmetrical – favouring the left abdomen (which worsened after admission) with tenderness in the left upper and lower quadrants. Rectal examination revealed the absence of stool in the anal canal and lower rectum. The white blood cell count was 14370/mm³ (84.7% neutrophils), with normal findings across the rest of the blood panel.

The abdominal ultrasound showed dilated bowel loops in the central abdominal and pelvic regions and the intestinal wall was apparently thickened. No free fluid was visible in the abdominal cavity. A plain abdominal X-ray revealed a slightly dilated small bowel loop (maximum diameter of 38 mm), with multiple air fluid levels, located in the lower left quadrant, with no signs of pneumoperitoneum. An emergency contrast enhanced abdominal computerized tomography (CT) was performed, which revealed a distended stomach, duodenum and small bowel loops (Fig. 1), with multiple intraluminal air fluid levels, thickened walls, with no signs of acute vascular distress. In the right lower quadrant, there was an area of abrupt transition from a dilated bowel loop to normal-looking loops, with no visible cause for obstruction.
Considering the CT diagnosis of small bowel obstruction of uncertain cause with no other significant findings, an emergency diagnostic laparotomy was performed (Fig. 2). Upon entering the abdominal cavity, an unusual non-whitish peritoneal like membrane surrounding the entire small bowel was observed. It had similar features to the peritoneum and apparently it originated near to the duodeno-jejunal flexure, went on to the mid transverse colon and downward from the greater curvature of the stomach to the pelvic region. It communicated with the abdominal cavity through a small aperture in the ileocecal region, where it was bordered by the ascending colon which was in normal position (Fig. 3). Upon dissection of the sac, starting in the right iliac fossa, viable if moderately dilated small bowel loops were identified, with sparse adhesions, mainly around the distal ileum. Careful dissection of the entire small bowel and the sac were performed, with meticulous adhesiolysis and quasi-complete resection of the capsule. Small bowel resection was not necessary, normal peristalsis was present throughout the entirety of the jejunum and ileum.

---

**Figure 1.** Plain abdominal X-ray and abdominal CT demonstrating air fluid levels

**Figure 2.** Peritoneal membrane covering the small intestine (CT scan comparison)
The postoperative course was uneventful, the patient was discharged 4 days after surgery with no abdominal pain, restored and normal bowel movements and normal blood tests. Follow-up after one and six months revealed no postoperative issues, bowel transit and digestive tolerance were reported to be normal.

The histopathological examination of the fragments of excised sac showed characteristics of fibrous and adipose tissue covered by mesothelium of peritoneal origin, as well as inflammatory cells. There was no evidence of fungal or bacterial infection.

Discussion

Peritoneal encapsulation is a rare anatomical finding, where an abnormal return of the midgut into the abdominal cavity of the fetus during the 12th week of gestation causes the covering layer of yolk sac to encapsulate the small bowel instead of remaining in the umbilical pedicle (2, 3). The peritoneal accessory sac covers the small bowel partially or entirely, can be covered by the greater omentum (but it can also be absent), and usually extends from the ascending and descending colon laterally, superiorly to the mid transverse colon and inferiorly to the pelvic parietal peritoneum (4-6). This pathology should be taken into consideration when a patient with no previous surgical history presents with symptoms indicating small bowel obstruction, with a higher degree of suspicion if the patient reveals past episodes of recurring abdominal pain with no discernable cause, or upon physical examination, asymmetrical abdominal distension is observed (7, 8). During surgery it is very important to recognize the secondary fold of peritoneum and to remove as much as possible. Simple adhesiolysis in areas susceptible for obstruction will not suffice and recurrence is to be expected.

Even though less than 60 cases have been reported in the medical literature so far, there are hypotheses that peritoneal encapsulation might be associated with other anatomical anomalies, such as situs inversus or congenital epigastric hernia (9,10). This was not the case with our patient, no other abnormalities being identified during surgery.

There are at least two other pathologies that have been wrongly reported as peritoneal encapsulation, but upon further inspection, have been categorised as having different etiologies: sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis (SEP) and the abdominal cocoon (7,11,12). SEP is a condition that presents itself as a similar encapsulation of the small bowel, but with a thicker wall, more fibrous, of grey-white colour, being directly caused by certain specific aetiological factors. The factor most often cited is chronic peritoneal dialysis, but recurrent peritonitis, ventriculo-peritoneal and peritoneo-venous shunts, sarcoidosis, tuberculosis, Mediterranean fever, protein-S deficiency, following liver transplantation, systemic lupus erythematous, and fibrogenic foreign material have also been identified as causing SEP (11,13-15). Emergency surgery in SEP has a much higher mortality than true peritoneal encapsulation (16). The abdominal cocoon is another controversial concept, still under debate as to cause and potential treatment options, but so far theories most often indicated it might be caused by retrograde
menstruation, retrograde peritonitis and recurrent gynecological infections (17-19).

Conclusions

While peritoneal encapsulation is a rarely reported cause of small bowel obstruction, identifying certain aspects be it in the medical history of the patient or on the CT images can help the surgeon in choosing the right therapeutic approach. In this case, during emergency surgery, adhesiolysis with complete resection of the secondary peritoneal sac ensured a smooth postoperative course. During short-term follow-up, recurrence was not reported.
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