Rezumat

Gastroplastia endoscopică. Experiența inițială

Introducere: Procedurile bariatrice endoscopice, în curs de dezvoltare, sunt mult mai puțin invazive ca opțiune de tratament pentru clasa I de obezitate. Scopul studiului nostru a fost de a evalua experiența inițială pe un an cu gastroplastia endoscopică (GE) din punct de vedere al rezultatelor ponderale, cât și pentru evaluarea riscurilor acestei noi proceduri.


Rezultate: Un total de 17 pacienți au beneficiat de GE pentru obezitatea primară sau recidivă. Toți pacienții au fost de sex feminin, cu o vârstă medie de 38,7 ani. Media IMC a fost de 34,8 kg/m² (interval: 30,8 – 44,1). Nu au existat complicații în timpul procedurii sau în perioada de după. Toți pacienții au fost externați în prima sau a doua zi după procedură, iar în viitor procedura va fi propusă în ambulatoriu. Patru pacienți (23,5%) au prezentat durere postprocedurală moderată pe o perioadă medie de 7,75 zile (interval de 2-15 zile), iar alți doi pacienți au prezentat greață și...
Abstract

Introduction: Less invasive endoscopic bariatric procedures are under development for the management of class I of obesity. The purpose of our study is to evaluate endoscopic gastroplasty (EG) using a suturing method, as well as the perioperative care and outcomes, during one-year period.

Methods: This is a prospective single-center study over 17 patients using the EG under general anesthesia with overnight inpatient observation. The analyzed variables were: change in body weight; and adverse effects. In order to analyze correlations between BMI, and identify predictors for better weight loss after EG, we created 2 groups of patients: Group A (with BMI < 35 and primary obesity – 10 patients) and Group B (with BMI > 35, or previous gastric balloon or bariatric surgery – 7 cases).

Results: A total of 17 patients underwent endoscopic procedures for primary obesity or weight regain. All patients were female with a mean age of 38.7 years. The mean BMI was 34.8 kg/m² (range: 30.8—44.1). There were no major intra-procedure adverse events or during the follow up. All patients were discharged on the 1st or 2nd day following the procedure and in the future the procedure will be proposed in ambulatory setting. Four patients (23.5 %) were complaining of moderate postprocedural pain for a mean period of time of 7.75 days (range 2-15 days) and two other patients complained about nausea and vomiting alleviated by the intravenous drugs. Of the 17 initial patients, 4 were available for 3-month of follow-up, 7 for 6-month, 3 for 9-month, and 3 completed the 12-month assessment with the mean EWL of 46.1 %. According to ASGE definition, 70.6 % (n= 12) of the 17 patients reached >25% of EWL. All patients in group A reached a successfully weight loss and the mean EWL was 72.4 %, but 5 out 7 patients in group B failed to achieve an EWL> 25 %. Moreover, all patients who underwent previous bariatric surgery failed to achieve any results in term of weight loss following EG.

Conclusions: Endoscopic gastroplasty represent a safe minimal invasive approach that can be considered as an effective and well tolerated procedure especially for primary obesity treatment. For patients with previous bariatric surgical procedures or with severe obesity the results are less favorable.
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Introduction

According to the National Institute of Health (NIH), weight loss therapy is recommended for patients with a BMI ≥ 35 and for patients with a BMI between 30 and 35 or a high-risk waist circumference, and two or more risk factors. Bariatric surgery is recommended for well-informed and motivated patients with class III obesity (BMI ≥ 40) or those with class II obesity (BMI ≥ 35) and significant obesity-related comorbidities. It provides medically significant, sustained weight loss over a prolonged period of time, as well as significant improvement in comorbidities (1,2). However, these surgical procedures carry a low rate of perioperative mortality and multiple long-term adverse events (3,4).

In France, the national recommendations by HAS (Haute Autorite de Sante) are similar and bariatric surgery is not indicated for some obesity grades (BMI < 35 or BMI 35-40 with no serious comorbidities). As a result, only a small percentage of the obese population may access bariatric surgery. For all the above, less invasive endoscopic procedures are under development for the management of this category of obesity; they provide a higher number of yet untreated obese patients with no access to surgical weight loss therapies. The endoscopic bariatric procedures could be seen by some as the new menace in the bariatric field, while others see it as a new addition to the treatment arsenal for obesity (5). Kumar et al. summarized that endoscopic procedures are more effective than conservative measures, and more available and less invasive than bariatric surgery (6).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate our initial case series regarding the complications and short-term weight loss results of the EG.

Methods

A total of 17 patients were included in a prospective single-center study and they received endoscopic gastroplasty (EG) between January and December 2018 in Bouchard Private Hospital, ELSAN (Marseille, France). The selected inclusion criteria were the following:

- obese patients (BMI = 30 - 40 kg/m²) who do not meet the criteria for surgery according to HAS. The patients are enrolled in a follow-up program to benefit from a multidisciplinary approach to morbid obesity disease for at least one year;
- patients (BMI > 40 kg/m²) who refuse bariatric surgery for the risk of a potential complication;
- patients with a previous bariatric surgery who refuse an additional surgical procedure.

The following patients were contraindicated for EG: acute epigastric symptoms, potentially bleeding gastric mucosal lesions (ulcers, acute gastritis), neoplastic lesions, hiatus hernia > 3 cm, coagulopathy, and psychiatric disorders.

All patients underwent standard evaluation with upper endoscopy, nutritional and psychological counseling and multidisciplinary team evaluation for 2 months prior to EG.

The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) and the American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS) taskforce defined the threshold of 25% %EWL at one year to measure the efficacy of endoscopic therapies for weight loss (7). All patients had a double dose of Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs) until the healing process was achieved. The procedure of EG and the current study obtained the IRB approval. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Technique Description

The EG is classified as a restrictive procedure with the main purpose, to diminish the gastric capacity. This is achieved by several complete endoscopic transmural sutures aiming to realize a line of cinched plications at the level of the greater curvature. The result is similar to a sleeve gastrectomy only on the inferior part of the gastric body, (Fig. 1) with no modification of the gastric fundus. The mechanism of action
and the gastric emptying time is completely different compared to sleeve gastrectomy. The endoscopic suturing device (OverStitch; Apollo Endosurgery Inc., Austin, Texas, USA) is used with a dual channel endoscope (GIF-2T160; Olympus Medical Systems Corp., Tokyo, Japan) to perform the EG. The general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation is always required and the patient is placed in dorsal decubitus or in a left lateral position. To assure the safety of the procedure and to facilitate the maneuvers, a specifically designed esophageal overtube (Apollo Endosurgery, Austin, TX, USA) should be used. At the end of the procedure, a control gastroscopy is mandatory in order to assess the final aspect of the gastroplasty and to check any potential bleeding.

After the procedure, the patient is under clinical surveillance for the first 24 hours. The liquid tolerance/intake is started after 8 hours and complete blood check up is realized the day following the procedure to rule out any complication. Upper gastrointestinal swallow is performed at different timepoints after the procedure to assess the gastroplasty.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous demographic variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and range; categorical variables as well as complications were reported as number and percentage. Continuous outcome variables were generally reported as mean ± standard deviation, and range. Descriptive statistics (simple counts and mean values) were used to report the complications and adverse effects.

**Results**

A total of 17 patients underwent endoscopic procedures for primary obesity or weight regain. All patients were female with a mean age of 38.7 years. The mean BMI was 34.8 (range: 30.8 - 44.1).

There were no major intra-procedure adverse events or during the follow up. All patients were discharged on the 1st or 2nd day following the procedure and in the future the procedure will be proposed in ambulatory setting.

Four patients (23.5 %) were complaining of moderate postprocedural pain for a mean period of time of 7.75 days (range 2-15 days) and two other patients complained about nausea and vomiting alleviated by the intravenous drugs.

Of the 17 initial patients, 4 were available for 3-month of follow-up, 7 for 6-month, 3 for 9-month, and 3 completed the 12-month assessment with the mean EWL of 46.1 %. In order to analyze the correlation between the initial BMI and the EWL following EG, we created 2 groups of patients: Group A (with BMI < 35 and primary obesity – 10 patients) and Group B (with BMI > 35, or previous gastric balloon or bariatric surgery – 7 cases).

According to ASGE definition, 70.6 % (n= 12) of the 17 patients reached >25% of EWL. All patients in group A reached a successfully
weight loss and the mean EWL was 72.4 %, but 5 out 7 patients in group B failed to achieve an EWL > 25 %. Moreover, all three patients who underwent previous bariatric surgery (one patient with a previous sleeve gastrectomy and two patients with gastric bypass) failed to achieve any results in term of weight loss.

**Discussion**

Obesity is considered nowadays a chronic disease that became an epidemic with important economical implication. The majority of patients struggled for many years with non-invasive measures like diet and exercise and pharmacotherapy. Regarding bariatric surgery, many patients either do not qualify or are unwilling to undergo surgical procedure. Endoscopic gastroplasty can fill this treatment gap, helping them to change lifestyle habits necessary to perpetuate long-term success. A multidisciplinary team of bariatric professionals is essential to provide patients with ongoing education and support.

The EG was incriminated that is not durable. However, we all know that all bariatric procedures have an important effect during the first 12 to 18 months. Initial report of EG showed similar efficiency and durability (8-10). Moreover, it should also be mentioned that EG present another important advantages. No irreversible anatomical alteration occurs in the gastric cavity, all the EG are reproducible and repeatable; thus might allow for reintervention in the future to achieve lasting results. Even if our current study showed that no major adverse effect was recorded, the reversibility of EG could be adopted if needed in case of an eventual major complication.

Our study demonstrates that EG represents a safe minimal invasive approach that can be considered as an effective and well tolerated procedure especially for primary obesity treatment.

Revisional bariatric surgery has been considerate to be less effective than the primary procedure and associated with higher risk profile for complication (11). Similarly, EG presents less encouraging results for revisional cases following bariatric procedure even if the risk of complications is similar. In our experience, 3 patients underwent previous bariatric procedures (two cases of Roux en Y gastric bypass and one case of sleeve gastrectomy) with negative results in term of EWL following additional bariatric endoscopic procedures.

Initially, EG was very often compared with sleeve gastrectomy. Despite the fact that no part of the stomach is removed the final form of the gastroplasty is completely different with the form of the sleeve gastrectomy. Moreover, the mechanism of action of EG is different from the sleeve gastrectomy. Contrary to a rapid gastric emptying for sleeve, the EG delays gastric emptying as it was showed by et Abu Dayyeh et al (12).

Even if Espinet-Coll et al (13) described the risk of suture passing close to the hilum of the spleen with the risk of catastrophic hemorrhage and the need for splenectomy in our experience no major adverse events occurred during the procedure. In another study, Lopez-Nava et al (14) assessed 248 patients treated with EG using the Apollo OverStitch system (2017). Severe adverse events occurred in 2% of cases (5 patients) but none of them required surgical intervention. Perigastric fluid collections were observed in 2 patients. One patient suffered a haemorrhage into the abdominal cavity, which was managed conservatively. In one patient, pulmonary embolism occurred post-operatively, and one patient developed pneumothorax and pneumoperitoneum that required drainage.

In the same study, the most common minor complications were abdominal pain and nausea with incidence ranging from 27.47 to 80% and 38.46 to 80%, respectively. In our series the pain was recorded in a similar percentage of 23.5 %.

Our study has several limitations to consider. First, our data represents one year experience of a single institution which limits generalizability. Furthermore, our sample size is relatively small and may reduce our ability
to uncover differences when they may in fact exist. However, we were able to abstract a robust conclusion, a group of patient (BMI over 40 or previous bariatric surgery) with not encouraging results. The strength of EG and consequently to our study is represented by the very low number of complications.

**Conclusion**

Endoscopic gastroplasty represents a safe minimal invasive approach that can be considered as an effective and well tolerated procedure especially for primary obesity treatment. For patients with previous bariatric surgical procedures or with severe obesity the results are less favorable.
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