Weight Loss and Late Complications after Silastic Ring Vertical Gastroplasty. A 10 Year Follow-up
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Rezumat

Scopul lucrării: Obezitatea severă constituie o problemă serioasă de sănătate publică, chirurgia bariatrică reprezentând unicul tratament eficient al acesteia. Printre procedeele chirurgicale bariatrice, gastroplastia verticală cu inel de silicon (SRVG) s-a impus ca o metodă restrictivă sigură, care a fost utilizată cu succes în trecut. Actualmente, SRVG a fost abandonată în favoarea unor procedee laparoscopice. Scopul prezentului studiu a fost acela de a investiga efectul SRVG asupra reducerii ponderale, precum și instalarea complicațiilor tardive sau efectuarea reintervențiilor chirurgicale în cazul unui lot de pacienți cu obezitate severă, pe o perioadă de urmărire postoperatorie de 10 ani.

Material și Metode: Am efectuat o analiză retrospectivă pe un lot de 112 pacienți cu obezitate severă care au fost supuși intervenției de SRVG deschis, între anii 2008-2009, urmărind atât evoluția indelului de masă corporală (IMC) și a procentajului IMC pierdut (%EBMI), cât și apariția complicațiilor chirurgicale tardive sau efectuarea reintervențiilor chirurgicale. 41,96% dintre pacienți au rămas înrolați în studiu după 10 ani.

Rezultate: Media inițială a IMC de 47,38±7,59 kg/m² s-a redus semnificativ statistic (p <0,001) în primul an după SRVG până la 31,05 ± 6,54 kg/m². Valoarea IMC s-a menținut relativ stabilă în primii 5 ani postoperator, crescând apoi progresiv până la 35,93 ± 7,20 kg/m² în al 10-lea an de monitorizare, dar rămânând, totuși,
semnificativ scăzută (\(p < 0.001\)) în comparație cu nivelul inițial. EBMIL\% a atins 79\% la un an după SRVG și a ajuns la 51\% la finalul perioadei de urmărire. Printre cele mai frecvente complicații tardive s-au numărat stenoza stomei (8,92\%), lărgirea stomei (8,03\%) și hernia incizională (3,36\%). Apariția stenozei a impus eliminarea inelului. În 2 cazuri, după îndepărtarea inelului, pacienții au fost supuși intervenției de bypass gastric.

Concluzii: SRVG reprezintă un procedeu chirurgical sigur și eficace, pretabil la diverse reintervenții, fiind asociat cu reducerea semnificativă a excesului ponderal și menținerea pe termen lung. Stenoza stomei, lărgirea stomei și hernia incizională reprezintă cele mai frecvente complicații tardive ale SRVG care reclamă reintervenții.

Cuvinte cheie: SRVG deschis, reducere ponderală, complicații tardive, reintervenții chirurgicale, 10 ani de urmărire postoperatorie

Abstract

Background and aim: Severe obesity is a public health care system challenge that requires bariatric surgery. Among the plethora of bariatric surgery techniques silastic ring vertical gastroplasty (SRVG) is a safe and efficient restrictive method that has been successfully used previously. However, it’s performance by open approach has been abandoned and replaced by other methods using the laparoscopic method. The aim of the present study was to investigate patients with severe obesity submitted to open SRVG and to report our results over a period of 10 years in terms of weight loss, late complications and surgical re-interventions.

Material and methods: 112 severely obese patients submitted to open SRVG between years 2008-2009 were investigated retrospectively for body mass index (BMI), percent excess BMI loss (%EBMIL), late surgical complications and reoperations. 41.96\% of the patients were followed up 10 years after SRVG.

Results: The initial mean BMI was 47.38 ± 7.59 kg/m² and dropped statistically significant (\(p<0.001\)) to 31.05 ± 6.54 kg/m² by the first year after SRVG. The mean BMI was rather stable along the first 5 years after SRVG when it started to increase gradually, reaching 35.93 ± 7.20 kg/m² by the 10\textsuperscript{th} year of follow-up when it remained still significantly lower (\(p<0.001\)) as compared to the mean baseline value. The %EBMIL was 79\% at one year after surgery and reached 51\% by the 10\textsuperscript{th} year of follow-up. The most frequent late complications after SRVG were stoma stenosis (8.92\%), enlargement of the stoma (8.03\%) and incisional hernia (3.36\%). As a consequence of stoma stenosis the ring has been removed in all cases. In 2 cases, after the ring removal, the patients underwent gastric bypass.

Conclusion: SRVG is a safe and efficient restrictive technique of bariatric surgery open to many options to be revised, leading to a successful sustained long term weight loss and maintenance. Stoma stenosis, enlargement of the stoma and incisional hernia are the most frequent late complications after SRVG requesting reoperations.
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Introduction

Obesity is a chronic disease known to be a real challenge to both patients and public health care systems (1). Patients with severe obesity, i.e. body mass index (BMI) over 40 kg/m² or over 35 kg/m² with comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia or hypertension, face the problem of attaining significant weight loss and mostly of maintaining the gained weight. Therefore, for these categories of patients, bariatric surgery is the only recog-
nized long-term effective treatment (2,3). Also, besides weight loss, bariatric surgery holds an important role in reducing the obesity associated diseases and thus in restoring health, improving life quality, and in increasing the lifespan (1,4). Indeed, due to the obesity epidemic and to the increasing safety of bariatric surgery, it has boosted up worldwide more than 10 fold in the past 20 years (5).

The success of a bariatric procedure is evaluated in terms of long term results, i.e. significant weight loss and maintenance, remission/amelioration of comorbidities as well as rate of complications (6). Historically, bariatric surgery encompasses a wide gamut of techniques, i.e. over 50 operations, showing that the field of obesity surgery is a work in progress and that the best operation has yet to be discovered (4). Currently, the most performed techniques are sleeve gastrectomy (SG) (45.9%) and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) (39.6%) performed by minimally invasive surgery (2). Still, every operation has its own complications and failures and, therefore, a long term follow-up is mandatory (7,8).

Silastic ring vertical gastroplasty (SRVG) developed by Eckhout is based on the original vertical banded gastroplasty created by Mason in 1982 (9,10). It is a restrictive procedure that has been successfully used in Cluj-Napoca, Romania, since 1997 when this bariatric method was firstly performed in our country (11). However, later, due to the development of the laparoscopic surgical approach, open SRVG has faded away and lost popularity, finally being replaced by other minimally invasive bariatric procedures.

Within this study we aimed to investigate patients with severe obesity submitted to open SRVG and to report 10-year results in terms of weight loss, late complications and surgical reinterventions.

**Material and Methods**

**Patients and study design**

This retrospective study included 112 severely obese patients that underwent open SRVG between years 2008-2009 and that were recruited consecutively from our dataset. All the patients fulfilled the criteria for bariatric surgery, i.e. body mass index over 40 kg/m² or 35-40 kg/m² with at least one comorbidity and previously failed attempts to lose weight and/or to maintain a healthy weight. Patients that lacked an identifiable medical management of obesity, those who were unable to participate to the post-surgical follow-up and to care for themselves as well as those who were known with psychiatric disorders, alcohol abuse and/or drug dependencies and diseases threatening life in the short term were not accepted for bariatric surgery (12,13).

Preoperative assessment consisted in an interview on the dietary habits, history of the disease (obesity) and of other comorbidities as well as anthropometric and routine laboratory measurements. The post-surgery follow-up consisted in visits at the clinic that involved anthropometric and routine biochemical evaluations at 1, 3, 6, 12 months and then yearly over a period of 10 years. The length of the hospitalization stay, postoperative late complications and mortality were also investigated. After the surgery the patients were recommended to use vitamin and mineral supplementation. The follow-up was not completed by all the operated patients. In these cases we used the telephone interview in order to update our database regarding weight evolution and general health status, including complications and reoperations. Nevertheless, some of the patients were lost during the 10-year survey.

**Anthropometric and Laboratory Measurements**

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by using the ratio weight (kg)/height (m²). Percent excess BMI loss (%EBMIL) was calculated according to the [(initial BMI - final BMI)/(initial BMI-25)] x 100 formula (14). Success was defined as EBMIL>50%. The laboratory measurements were performed by standard methods and involved routine parameters.
Surgical Intervention

All patients were submitted to open SRVG that was performed by the same surgeon. The clinical setting was the Second Surgical Clinic from “Iuliu Hațieganu” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca. SRVG is a restrictive procedure that does not involve gastric resection. The stomach is vertically divided into 2 compartments from the Hiss angle over a 9 cm distance by mechanical suture with titanium clips. A 5.3 cm silicon ring is fixed at the base of this suture. The two compartments are separated by a 12 diameter opening and consist in an upper pouch of approximately 70 ml and a lower pouch representing the rest of the stomach (15-17).

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed using descriptive statistics as mean ± standard deviation. The paired Student t-test was used to study the changes of the analyzed parameters at every time point after surgery. The statistical significance was set at the p-value < 0.05.

Results

The baseline characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. The hospitalization duration was between 5 and 7 days. Out of the 112 patients with severe obesity, 47 (41.96%) were followed-up 10 years after open SRVG.

The initial mean BMI was 47.38±7.59 kg/m² which dropped statistically significant (p<0.001) to 31.05±6.54 kg/m² by the first year after SRVG. From this time point on, BMI started to increase slightly, reaching 33.20±6.08 kg/m² by the sixth year and, finally, 35.93±7.20 kg/m² by the tenth year. However, at the tenth year of follow-up, the mean BMI was still significantly lower (p<0.001) as compared to the baseline values (Fig. 1). The %EBMIL was 79% at one year after surgery and reached 51% by the 10th year of follow-up (Fig. 2).

In terms of comorbidities, patients with type 2 diabetes and hypertension became free of medication by the end of the first year after SRVG.

The most frequent late complications after open SRVG were stoma stenosis (8.92%), enlargement of the stoma (8.03%) and incisional hernia (3.36%). As a consequence of stoma stenosis the ring has been removed in all these patients. In 2 cases, after the ring

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the severely obese patients candidates for SRVG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>37.61±10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>88F/24M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>47.38±7.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>25 (22.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>14 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>3 (2.67%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The 10-year dynamics of BMI after open SRVG

Figure 2. The dynamics of %EBMIL along the 10-year follow-up after open SRVG
removal, the patients underwent gastric bypass. There was no surgery related mortality recorded during the follow-up.

Discussion

Open SRVG is a safe and efficient restrictive technique that has been successfully performed in the Second Surgical Clinic of “Iuliu Hațieganu” University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca. Between 1997 and 2013 (when open SRVG has been replaced by other methods), 1080 operations have been performed using this surgical procedure. Within this framework 112 patients submitted to open SRVG between years 2008-2009 were collected from our database in a consecutively manner with the aim to investigate the long term results of the surgery, along a period of 10 years, in terms of weight loss, complications and re-interventions. Herein, we show that open SRVG is an effective surgical procedure by resulting in important and sustainable weight loss. We are aware of the fact that the complete follow-up is rather low, but still we are able to report some significant results. The lack of complete follow-up may be attributable, in our opinion, at least to some extent, to the well-being of the patients after surgery as well as to the difficulties in reaching the Clinical Center (distance). In fact, an optimal follow-up has been demonstrated to be a challenge for other researchers as well (18). Other studies involving SRVG reported a complete follow-up of 71% along 7.1±3 years (range 4 to 16 years) and 78% in a surveillance of at least 6 years (6 to 11) (19,20). Finally, in a very recent report of a prospective longitudinal cohort study of patients who underwent laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) as a primary bariatric procedure showed a 39% follow-up at 10 years after surgery which is very similar to our survey (21).

The mean baseline BMI of the severely obese patients was 47.38±7.59 kg/m². We noted that the most important weight loss took place within the first year after open SRVG, when the mean BMI reached a significant reduction to 31.05 kg/m². In terms of BMI dynamics we observed that the BMI value was relatively maintained during the following 4 years (32.48 kg/m² by the 4th year), when it slightly started to increase, reaching a mean value of 35.93 kg/m² by the end of the 10th year of follow-up. Our results are comparable with those reported by Paran et al. (19) who studied 115 patients undergoing SRVG and showed a reduction from a BMI of 47 to 29 kg/m² within the first year after the operation. Moreover, similarly, following this time point, they reported a gradual, but still acceptable, increase in BMI, reaching 35±4 kg/m² at 10 years following surgery (19). In line with these results, Closset et al. (20) reported a decrease of the mean BMI from 45.3 kg/m² to 30.7 kg/m² in 213 patients followed for at least 6 years (6 to 11) or until reoperation was needed. The peak of the weight loss observed by the second year (30.11±6.47 kg/m²) of follow-up in our patients goes hand in hand with the results reported by O’Brien et al. (21) who analyzed obese patients submitted to LAGB along a period of 20 years after surgery. Furthermore, the authors reported a relatively stable weight loss from that time point to 20 years. A slight weight regain after bariatric surgery has been documented after other procedures as well (22,23). Chang et al. (18) reported a thirteen-year experience with laparoscopic SG and showed that the maximal weight loss was achieved at 1 year followed by small weight regain subsequently. Thomas et al. (24) reported in patients that underwent RYGB that once the nadir is reached, a period of weight regain in the next 1 to 4 years is installed which, subsequently, is followed by a “relatively stable” weight period.

In our study, by the first year after surgery the %EBMIL reached 79%±26%, but this value decreased gradually along the 10 year follow-up and reached 51%±31% by the end of the follow-up. Paran et al. (19) reported similar results, i.e. a %EBMIL 67 ± 19% one year after surgery. Although open SRVG is no longer performed, when searching within the literature for long term results with other techniques that are used at present we
observed that the outcomes are comparable. Indeed, a very recent systematic review with meta-analysis on reports containing 10 or more years of follow-up after bariatric surgery showed a mean % excess weight loss (%EWL) of 56.7% after gastric bypass, 58.3% after SG, 45.9% after LAGB, and 74.1% after biliopancreatic bypass +/- duodenal switch (21). Noteworthy, this review included the only two at the moment available reports on long term results of SG, and therefore more studies are warranted to shed light on the weight loss outcome after SG. Another study that followed 3566 patients up to 20 years after LAGB showed a %EWL of 49% at 10 years after the surgery (25). Altogether, these data show that SRVG induces a significant durable weight loss which is similar to the effect produced by LAGB. Gastric bypass that combines restriction with malabsorption induces a slightly higher weight loss similar to SG as compared to SRVG. However, with regard to SG the small number of long term studies prevents us of drawing a final conclusion on its weight loss long term outcome.

Closset et al. (20) reported SRVG as a safe procedure. Indeed, we had no mortality in our sample of patients, which is similar to other reports (19,26). With regard to late complications, we reported 8.92% cases of stoma stenosis which led to excessive weight loss and severe vomiting that required ring removal. In some cases, the stenotic ring was replaced with a new ring, while in other cases the patients underwent gastric bypass. Also, other most frequent late complications after SRVG were incisional hernia and enlargement of the stoma which resulted in significant weight regain. Likewise, Closset et al. (20) reported outlet stoma stenosis in 14% of patients, possibly as the main problem of SRVG (27) and incisional hernia. Paran et al. (19) pointed out towards stenosis of the pouch outlet as a consequence of stricture at the ring, failure of the staple-line as well as ventral hernias as main late post SRVG complications, all requiring re-operations. SRVG is a procedure that is open to many options to be laparoscopically revised. However, the conversion of SRVG into other techniques stands out in its difficulty and the decision regarding which method should be carried out in case of failed SRVG (due to excessive weight loss or weight regain) represents a real challenge (28,29). Some authors reported conversion to LAGB as a consequence of disruption of the staple-line and pouch dilatation accompanied by frequent vomiting (19,30). On the other hand, Elazary et al. (31) reported a higher rate of complications after SG as a revisional procedure compared to RYGB resulting in the decision to stop the conversion into SG and highlighting that RYGB is a safer choice. Finally, Abu-Gazala et al. showed that a feasible and effective method to convert failed SRVG would be the biliopancreatic diversion (BPD) (29).

Other procedures are accompanied as well by late complications that need re-do surgery. Chang et al. (18) reported reflux esophagitis as the most common cause for revision after SG followed by important weight regain. This latter condition was suggested to be attributable to gastric tube dilatation or to a “shift” of the dietary habits towards sweets (32). Finally, at 10 years the authors reported the revision rate of 21.5% and the conversion rate to RYGB of 16.9%.

Complications have been reported also after LAGB such as pouch herniation-dilatation (5.8%) and erosion (2.5%) with a total reoperation rate of 24.1% and conversion into other bariatric procedures in 3.2% cases (25).

The pre- and post-SRVG eating behavior influences the weight loss outcome (26,33). Often, patients tolerate less red meat and have the tendency to ingest high amounts of sweet foods and beverages that decrease the process of weight loss or induce weight regain. Weight regain after SRVG may be attributable to the progressively larger amounts of food ingested by the patients that finally result in the dilatation of the proximal pouch, disruption of the staple line and enlargement of the ring. Altogether, these factors increase the compliance for food, leading to weight increase after weight loss (34). Finally, it is important to note that in all bariatric surgery techniques the eating behavior is an important factor that
influences the long term success of the surgery, and therefore it calls for a rigorous follow-up (18).

We acknowledge that the present study has some limitations. The retrospective small cohort of patients as well as the low follow-up rate call for our caution when interpreting the conclusions. Nevertheless, within the literature the reported data on long term follow-up (over 10 years of survey) after bariatric surgery are scant. Therefore, our single center and single surgeon results render us able to conclude that SRVG is an effective restrictive bariatric surgery procedure.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, SRVG is a safe and effective restrictive technique of bariatric surgery. It leads to significant and sustained long term weight loss and maintenance. Late complications after SRVG request re-do operations in order to halt the excessive weight loss or to stop the weight regain. Also, SRVG is open to many options to be revised. However, in the light of the new laparoscopic approach, open SRVG has become less popular and, finally, it was abandoned.
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