Gynecological Benignities Causing Obstructive Uropathy. Review of the Literature
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Rezumat

**Benignități ginecológice care provoacă uropatie obstructivă.**

Trecere în revistă a literaturii de specialitate

**Context/Scope:** Uropatia obstructivă se caracterizează prin schimbarea caracteristicii structurale și funcționale a sistemului urinar datorită înterrupției scurgerii urinare normale. Benignitățile ginecologice ar putea provoca rareori uropatie obstructivă.

**Material și metode:** În acest studiu a fost investigată incidența și severitatea uropatiei obstructive cauzate de benignități ginecologice. În plus, am examinat spectrul procedurilor terapeutice, pentru a face față acestei afecțiuni clinice severe, precum și a complicațiilor periculoase ale urosepsiei.

**Rezultate:** Benignitățile ginecologice pot provoca uropatie obstructivă. Aceste afecțiuni sunt rareori întâlnite, constituind o problemă dificilă pentru medici. În spectrul acestor afecțiuni sunt incluse masele de la nivelul anexelor uterine, leiomioamele, bolile inflamațorii pelvine și endometrioza.

**Concluzie:** Uropatia obstructivă datorată benignităților ginecologice este o afecțiune foarte rară, dificilă și provocatoare, iar medicii ar trebui să ia în considerare întotdeauna existența uropatiei în astfel de cazuri.
Obstructive uropathy is defined the clinical entity that is characterized by changing the structural and functional feature of the urinary system due to interruption of normal urinary runoff. Gynecological benignities could rarely cause obstructive uropathy. In this study the incidence and the severity of obstructive uropathy caused by gynecological benignities, was investigated. Additionally, we examined the spectrum of the contingent therapeutical procedures, in order to contend with this severe clinical entity, as well as the dangerous for life complication of urosepsis.

Results: Gynecological benignities can cause obstructive uropathy. These conditions are rarely faced, composing a challenging problem for physicians. In the spectrum of these conditions are included adnexal masses, leiomyomas, pelvic inflammatory disease and endometriosis.

Conclusion: Obstructive uropathy due to gynecological benignities is a very rare, difficult and challenging condition and physicians should always consider the existence of uropathy in such cases.
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disease and endometriosis (7).

In this review we are going to investigate the incidence and the severity of obstructive uropathy caused by gynecological benignities, as current literature remains rather scarce on this topic. This clinical situation is extremely rare and current evidence is based only on a few case reports that are the only representative of this clinical situation in the published medical literature. Additionally we examined the spectrum of the contingent therapeutical procedures in order to contend with this severe clinical entity as well as the dangerous for life complication of urosepsis.

**Obstructive Uropathy Due to Gynecological Benignities**

First of all, in the last years, there are some data indicating that gynecological benignities could cause obstructive uropathy. The incidence of the disease is increasing depending on the age of the patients. So the incidence of hydronephrosis in the general population is about 3.1%, but it increases to 5.1% if the age spectrum is decreased to 60 years or older. Additionally the incidence in women is about 2.9%, almost the half of men’s incidence, probably due to benign prostate hyperplasia. The 0.9% of the annual discharges of the US hospitals has the diagnosis of obstructive uropathy (8).

Probably the most common cause of obstructive uropathy due to benign gynecological lesions is endometriosis. This disease affects the urinary tract in 1% of all cases, although it is not common at all to affect the ureters alone. Ureteral endometriosis can be intrinsic or extrinsic. In the first occasion the pathological tissue is advanced in the lamina propria or tunica muscularis of the ureter and in the second occasion it is developed around the ureter, which refers to 80% of all cases (9,10). In addition, due to its silent development it may result to a severe renal disease to 25-50% of all cases. Furthermore, it is really challenging for gynecologists and urologists to diagnose endometriosis due to the informality of the symptoms, as it is the cause for 32% of women who undergo laparoscopy due to chronic pelvic pain and unfortunately 1.7% of women are asymptomatic (11).

Hydronephrosis will gradually be eliminated after the surgical removal of the cause. If the patient has striking pain that does not decrease by systematic analgesia, high fever with shudder or affected kidney function, a percutaneous nephrostomy or a double J stent should be placed in an urgent basis (9-11).

**Cases of Obstructive Uropathy Due to Gynecological Benignities**

Kim HY et al. reported a 52-year-old woman with abdominal distention whose exams revealed a 36 x 21 x 30 cm cystic mass originating from the right ovary which caused not only the displacement of abdominal organs and kidneys, but also bilateral hydronephrosis and consequently obstructive uropathy. The patient underwent surgical excision of the mass. Pathological examination demonstrated a benign cystic lesion with hemorrhage and extensive thrombus formation. After surgical excision of the mass, contrast-enhanced abdominal CT urography showed partial the improvement of hydronephrosis (12).

Another interesting case of a 16-year-old female presented with a progressive abdominal distention. Her exams showed the existence of a multiseptated cystic mass in the abdomen measuring 22.5 x 30 x 40.5 cm, originating from the left ovary, which caused significant dilation of the intrahepatic ducts, the right renal pelvis and the proximal corresponding ureter. She underwent a laparoscopic left oophorectomy and the mass was a mature cystic teratoma (13).

Additionally, a similar case of a 24-year-old female attended the outpatient unit for her regular annual gynecological check-up. After her ultrasound assessment she was found with free liquid in the abdominal cavity and dilation of the right kidney pelvis. Moreover both her kidneys were found shrinked. An abdominal computed tomography was
performed that revealed a 37cm left adnexal cystic mass compressing the right proximal ureter and the right renal pelvis. The female underwent tumor resection of the left ovary, omentectomy and appendectomy. The histopathologic report revealed a benign ovarian serous papillary cystadenoma. The patient was discharged after two days. One year after the operation, no complications of surgical procedure or hydronephrosis are observed (14).

Another interesting case is this one regarding a 45-year-old female referring to an urologist by her general practitioner due to flank pain without fever and lower urinary tract symptoms. The intravenous urogram (IVU) demonstrated a 1 cm irregular radio-opacity just below the left sacroiliac joint on the preliminary film and left hydronephrosis and hydroureter down and just medial to the level of the radio-opacity. After the placement of a double J stent the characteristics of the pain changed and the patient underwent an abdominopelvic computed tomography that revealed a left ovarian dermoid cyst. She underwent exploratory laparotomy where oophorectomy was performed. The distal left ureter was compressed by the cyst which was excised. The histopathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of the computed tomography. Following oophorectomy, the patient’s symptoms completely resolved (15).

Correira M. et al. reported the case of a 29-year-old woman that presented for her annual routine check-up. The blood test laboratory analysis revealed an affected renal function (serum creatinine 2.86 mg/dL). The patient underwent a renal ultrasound which revealed bilateral dilation, but normal parenchyma. The next diagnostic step was a computed tomography, which gave the suspicion of a mass with unknown origins. MRI suspended endometriosis. The patient underwent explorative laparotomy, revealing a “frozen pelvis” due to endometriosis, confirmed histologically. Due to difficult resectability, a conservative approach was taken through hormonal treatment and bilateral ureteral pigtail placement, given the necessity to salvage renal function and decrease disease burden. Renal function was improved few days following surgery (16).

In addition to the previous case, another case of a 45-year-old female visited the emergency room with symptoms of acute dysuria, left flank pain with vomiting, nausea and generalized weakness for about seven days. She underwent transvaginal and kidney ultrasound that revealed a mass of about 5 cm in the left pelvic cavity and left hydronephrosis. After that the patient underwent a computed tomography that demonstrated the existence of a 4.5cm mass originating from the left ovary, mild and severe hydronephrosis to the right and left kidney respectively. She underwent laparoscopic unilateral nephrectomy, cystectomy and double J catheter insertion. Histopathologic report revealed an endometrioma of the left ovary and ureteral endometriosis. Hydronephrosis resolved immediately. She had no complications and no evidence of endometriosis recurrence during 3-year follow-up at urology and gynecology outpatient departments and she was free from pain of endometriosis (17).

Muthuppalaniappan VM. et al. reported a 30-year-old female patient with an intrinsic endometriosis of the ureter. Her past medical history included systematic lupus erythematosus, an autoimmune condition that is connected with endometriosis. The patient presented for her annual check-up, when the blood test revealed the existence of renal dysfunction. The usual diagnostic work-up revealed the existence of bilateral intrinsic endometriosis of the ureters, which led to a severe left renal dysfunction. The patient underwent a bilateral re-implantation of the ureters. The patient was totally asymptomatic and the existence of the disease was luckily discovered due to the patient’s regular examination, because of her autoimmune status. Renal function improved slightly after surgery (18).

Also, Cramer D. et al. reported the case of a 44-year-old female that presented with a recurring left flank pain for the last 5 years. The patient underwent a renal ultrasound and a computed tomography that revealed the dilation of the left renal pelvis and a slight
decrease of the parenchyma, without a diagnosis for the obstructive cause. A nuclear renal scan confirmed that her left kidney contributed only 24% to her renal function. After an ureteroscopy and a retrograde pyelography it was decided that the patient should undergo a left nephro-ureterectomy in order to rule out the existence of malignancy. The histopathologic results revealed the existence of endometrial tissue inside her left ureter (11).

On the contrary of the two previous cases of intrinsic endometriosis of the ureters, it is reported a 44-year-old patient with a 4-year history of right-sided flank pain and renal pelvic dilation during menstruation. Despite several evaluations by physicians, including gynecologists, the cause of her symptoms was not diagnosed. The transvaginal US revealed the existence of a nodule at the right utero-sacral ligament. The following MRI revealed a mass in the right uterineand a thickened wall of the right ureter. Right ureteral endometriosis was suspected. Diagnostic laparoscopy revealed narrowing of the distal right ureter between the right utero-sacral ligament and the right ovary with adhesions caused by deep infiltrating endometriosis. The adhesion bands and infiltrating endometriosis around the right ureter were dissected. Extrinsic ureteral endometriosis was diagnosed. Patients’ symptoms resolved immediately after surgery (19).

All cases of obstructive uropathy originating from gynecological benignities are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Summary of studies presenting gynecological benignities causing obstructive uropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Benign situation that caused obstructive uropathy</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim HY et al., 2016</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Cystic mass originating from the right ovary</td>
<td>Surgical excision of the mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan MS et al., 2006</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Mature cystic teratoma</td>
<td>Laparoscopic left oophorectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peñaloza DAS et al., 2018</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Benign ovarian serous papillary cystadenoma</td>
<td>Surgical excision of the mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aiken WD et al., 2015</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Left ovarian dermoid cyst</td>
<td>Surgical excision of the mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correia Mi et al., 2017</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>Double pigtail ureteral stenting explorative laparotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tseng TY et al, 2009</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Endometrioma of the left ovary</td>
<td>Surgical excision of the mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muthuppalaniappan VS et al., 2016</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bilateral intrinsic ureteral endometriosis</td>
<td>Nephro-ureterectomy bilateral re-implantention of the ureters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee HJ et al., 2017</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Right extrinsic ureteral endometriosis</td>
<td>Adhesiolysis, endometriosis excision, right pigtail ureteral stenting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusion

In conclusion, hydronephrosis and obstructive uropathy due to gynecological benignities is a very rare, difficult and challenging condition, which involves at least two medical specialties and detailed examination of the patient. Clinicians and especially gynecologists and urologists should consider obstructive uropathy as a possible consequence of the presence of gynecological benignities and especially of ovarian cysts and endometriosis. Larger studies and case series are needed to fully elucidate the percentage of woman that are affected from this rare life-threatening condition.
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