Late Bowel Ischemia and Colovaginal Fistula after Low Anterior Resection in a COVID-19 Patient
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A 62-year old female with low rectal cancer (ypT3N1 (1/18), G2) and no comorbidities, treated with long course neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (45Gy + capecitabine) and laparoscopic pull-through low anterior resection, hand-sewn coloanal anastomosis and protective loop ileostomy at the beginning of March, was readmitted to our department 31 day after surgery. Before surgery she underwent a colonoscopy, a thoracic-abdominal CT scan and a pelvic MRI with a good response to the neoadjuvant treatment and no pulmonary findings. We did not perform any preoperative pharyngeal swab for SARS-CoV-2 infection according to the previous internal guidelines of our hospital. The surgical procedure was performed in one stage (length of surgery: 304 minutes), without intraoperative complication (blood loss < 50 ml). Her post-operative course was complicated by fever (39°C) on post-operative day (POD) 2. The patient immediately underwent an abdominal-thoracic CT scan and a pelvic MRI with a good response to the neoadjuvant treatment and no pulmonary findings. We did not perform any preoperative pharyngeal swab for SARS-CoV-2 infection according to the previous internal guidelines of our hospital. The surgical procedure was performed in one stage (length of surgery: 304 minutes), without intraoperative complication (blood loss < 50 ml). Her post-operative course was complicated by fever (39°C) on post-operative day (POD) 2. The patient immediately underwent an abdominal-thoracic CT scan, endoscopic evaluation of the anastomotic region, and rRT-PCR amplification tests for SARS-CoV-2 infection via two pharyngeal swabs. The CT scan showed mild bilateral pulmonary “ground-glass” opacities, no signs of pelvic fluid collect or free air at the abdomen; the endoscopic evaluation was unremarkable and both pharyngeal swabs were positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection. In relation to the negative findings of the abdominal CT scan we decided not to perform a pelvic-MRI. The patient was asymptomatic and did not require supplemental oxygen. She was treated with piperacillin-tazobactam 13.5 gr per day for 10 days, hydroxychloroquine 400 mg per day for 5 days, darunavir/cobicistat 800/150 mg per day for 7 days, lopinavir/ritonavir 200/50 mg 4 administration per day for 7 days and heparin 8,000 UI per day for 10 days and 4,000 UI per day for 6 days after discharge (1). The fever resolution was on POD 10 and the patient was discharged on POD 13 to home quarantine.

The patient was readmitted 31 days after surgery for weight loss and fever (39°C). From POD 13 to POD 31 the patient did not showed up to follow-up controls until symptoms worsening: chronic fever, important weight loss and abundant purulent vaginal spotting, because of the lock down status.

Laboratory tests revealed regular D-Dimer and coagulation parameters but elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) [9 mg/L (NV <1.0) and white blood cell count (WBC) (12.10^9/L (NV 4.0-9.0)]. The rRT-PCR amplification tests for SARS-CoV-2 infection via pharyngeal swab at the readmission was still positive. The
rectal examination showed a large colo-vaginal fistula (CVF).

CVF is a rare complication [prevalence 0.9-9.9 % (2)] after low anterior resection for rectal cancer, and its management is difficult. Risk factors for CVF are low anastomosis, preoperative radiotherapy and cancer stage IV (3). Our patient had two of these factors, and in a COVID19-free setting we would not have ascribed the fever to the SARS-CoV-2 infection and we would have intensively investigated the abdomen, perhaps reaching an earlier diagnosis. The abdominal symptoms were mild due to the protective ileostomy and the patient did not show up according to a normal follow-up appointment because of the pandemic. At the time Italy was in ‘lockdown’ and most outpatient clinics were suspended. The diagnostic delay was probably due to a combination of all these conditions.

Abdominal-thoracic CT-scans performed at the readmission to our department revealed a dilated colic stump and suspected CVF; no new pathological pulmonary findings were found. Bowel ischemia and CVF were confirmed at the endoscopic examination. 31 days after the first surgical approach the patient underwent a laparotomic abdominoperineal resection, ileostomy reversal (end-to-end hand sewn anastomosis), end-colostomy and posterior vaginal wall reconstruction. 15 cm of ischemic colon proximal to the anastomosis and a large CVF were detected intraoperatively. The length of surgery was 314 minutes, the surgical procedure was uneventful and without intra-operative complications, the patient received blood transfusion due to chronic anemia (blood loss < 100 ml).

During surgery the highest level of protection was adopted. A designate negative-pressure operating room out isolated from the main surgical theaters was settled. The scrub team was equipped with full personal protective equipment (PPE), including disposable FFP2 respirators, double gloves, goggles, visors, caps, shoes and body protection. The number of surgical, nursing, and anesthetist team members was limited to the minimum required to perform the surgery. The use of electrocautery and ultrasonic scalpels was limited to reduce the risk of aerosol viral dispersal and suction devices were intensively used to remove smoke and body fluid and to prevent airborne and aerosol viral transmission.

The postoperative course was slowly favorable, the patient did not require ICU nor assisted ventilation despite pharyngeal swab test for SARS-CoV-2 infection remained positive until discharge on 15th postoperative day. The histologic examination revealed gigantocellular granulomatous inflammation areas, patchy necrosis and hemorrhage (Fig. 2). The first faringeal swab tests for SARS-CoV-2 infection became negative 2 months after the diagnosis and the patient is now in good clinical conditions.

Discussion

This case has important characteristics and consequences:

1. Risk factor analyses for anastomotic leakage after low anterior rectal resection have been widely reported: low anastomosis, preoperative radiotherapy, cancer stage IV, intraoperative bleeding (≥100 ml), tension-reducing suture of anastomosis and asa score (4). Our patient showed two of these factors.

2. The surgical approach strategy for Covid patients in Italy has now changed with protocols involving pharyngeal swabs before hospitalization. In case of a positive result, the patient is admitted to a dedicated ward and treated in an operating room where the highest level of protection is applied.

3. Diagnosis was delayed (one month after the first procedure) and the complication required surgery (Clavien Dindo Classification IIIb). COVID19 co-infection, “lockdown” status and mild symptoms can be implicated as a cause of the diagnostic delay.

4. A recent study (Bhayana R et al. Radiology 2020) describes that COVID19 related small vessel thrombosis can be a cause of late bowel ischemia. Pathology demonstrated ischemic enteritis with
patchy necrosis and fibrin thrombi in arterioles (5).

Conclusion

In conclusion COVID19 influences surgery (service provision, patient care and healthcare strategies) and can be a cause of late bowel ischemia related to small vessel thrombosis in surgical patients. This findings are based on our experience and further studies are needed.
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