Interventional Radiology in Splenic Trauma: If Not Now, Then When?
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Rezumat
Radiologia intervențională splenică în traumă: dacă nu acum, atunci când?

Introduction: Tratamentul leziunilor contuzive splenice a prezentat schimbari evolutive majore, de la splenectomia de principiu la tratamentul nonoperator și endovascular, “chirurgia cu un cateter”. Actual, în Centrele de Traumă, angiembolizarea splenică este considerată intervenția de primă linie în tratament. Acest articol prezintă experiența Spitalului Clinic de Urgență București în utilizarea angiembolizării splenice, soluție terapeutică în concordanță cu practica și literatura contemporană de specialitate.

Methods: Acest studiu retrospectiv cuprinde pacienții cu leziuni splenice prin mecanism contuziv, la care s-a efectuat angiografie diagnostică/terapeutică, internați în Spitalul Clinic de Urgență București în perioada Ianuarie 2006 - Decembrie 2019. Obiectivul principal a fost reprezentat de evaluarea eficacității embolizării splenice în controlul hemoragiei posttraumatice și evaluarea siguranței acesteia în termenii efectelor adverse ale metodei; endpointurile principale ale studiului au fost: mortalitatea post-traumatică, necesitatea unei intervenții chirurgicale (laparoscopică /clasica) pentru rezolvarea săngerării de la nivel splenic, numărul de zile de spitalizare, necesitatea internării mai mult de 1 zi în Clinica de Anestezie și Terapie Intensivă, ziua în care a început creșterea numărului de trombocite, evoluția para...
metrilor de laborator (internare, preangiografie, post-angiografie /embrizare, externare). Un endpoint secundar al studiului a fost frecvența complicațiilor care nu au necesitat intervenție chirurgicală.

Rezultate: În perioada menționată la 64 de pacienți tratați non-operator, s-a efectuat angiografia diagnostică (27 de cazuri, grup B) sau terapeutică (37 de cazuri, grup A). 26,56% dintre cazuri au avut vârsta ≥ 55 ani (55-81 ani), predominanța sexului masculin fiind evidentă (62,5%). Valoarea medie a scorului de severitate lezională a fost 21,7± 10,4, iar 71,87% dintre cazuri au prezentat scorul de severitate lezională ≥ 16. Gradul lezional splenic (conform American Association for the Surgery of Trauma-Organ Injury Scale) a prezentat valoarea medie 2,95. Gradul lezional splenic a fost semnificativ statistic mai sever la grupul A (p< 0.001) iar valorile hemoglobinei preangiografie au fost semnificativ mai scăzute comparativ cu valorile de la internare (p< 0.001) indicând persistența hemoragiei. Eșecurile procedurale s-au produs la 4.68% din cazuri, mortalitatea fiind nulă.

Concluzii: Radiologia intervențională splenică este o procedură sigură, eficientă și rațională. Dezvoltarea protocoalelor terapeutice este necesară pentru a permite utilizarea maximală a acestei procedure.

Cuvinte cheie: tratament nonoperator, radiologie intervențională, contuzie splenică

Abstract

Introduction: The treatment of blunt splenic injuries showed major evolutionary changes, from fundamental/ basic splenectomy to nonoperative and endovascular treatment, "catheter surgery". Currently, in Trauma Centers, splenic angiography is considered the first-line intervention in trauma. This article presents the Bucharest Emergency Clinical Hospital experience in the use of splenic angiography, a therapeutic solution in accordance with contemporary practice and literature.

Methods: This retrospective study includes patients with splenic trauma by blunt mechanism, in which diagnostic / therapeutic angiography was performed, hospitalized in the Clinical Emergency Hospital Bucharest between January 2006 and December 2019. The main endpoints of the study were: post-traumatic mortality, the need for surgery (laparoscopic/classic) to resolve splenic bleeding, the number of days of hospitalization, the need for hospitalization for more than 1 day in the intensive care unit, the day when the platelet count began to increase, the evolution of laboratory parameters (hospitalization, preangiography, postangiography/embolization, discharge). A secondary endpoint of the study was the frequency of complications that did not require surgery.

Results: During the mentioned period in 64 patients treated nonoperatively, diagnostic angiography was performed (27 cases, group B) or therapeutic angiography (37 cases, group A). 26.56% of cases were ≥ 55 years old (55-81 years old), the predominance of males being obvious (62.5%). The mean value of the ISS was 21.7 ± 10.4, and 71.87% of cases presented ISS ≥ 16. The mean value of the ISS was 21.7 ± 10.4, and 71.87% of cases presented ISS ≥ 16. The degree of splenic injury (American Association for the Surgery of Trauma-Organ Injury Scale) presented the mean value 2.95. The degree of splenic lesion was statistically significantly more severe in group A (p <0.001) and preangiographyhemoglobin values were significantly lower compared to hospitalization values (p <0.001) indicating the persistence of hemorrhage. Procedural failures occurred in 4.68% of cases, with zero mortality.

Conclusions: Splenic interventional radiology is a safe, effective and rational procedure. The development of therapeutic protocols is necessary to allow maximum use of this procedure.
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Introduction

The emergence and development of Regional Trauma Centers, the regionalization of the care of traumatized/polytraumatized patients and the continuous development of diagnostic imaging methods, determined a new thinking and a different approach to the traumatized.

The therapy of traumatic splenic lesions went through several stages - fundamental/basic splenectomy, conservative splenic surgery (splennorrhaphy, partial splenectomy, subtotal splenectomy, application of local hemostatics), splenectomy followed by intra-omental splenic implant, nonoperative treatment, splenic angioembolization (1-8).

Current concept: not all post-traumatic hemoperitoneum should be operated on immediately.

Nonoperative management (NOM) is currently the "gold standard" in splenic trauma.

In hemodynamically stable patients, nonoperative treatment is the optimal strategy and allows the preservation of the organ and its functions, especially the immunological one.

Nonoperative treatment can be used as a single method (in the vast majority of cases) or in combination with diagnostic and therapeutic angiography.

Material and Methods

The study period was January 2006-December 2019, and includes patients with tomographically diagnosed splenic lesions in which splenic interventional radiology (angiography, angioembolization) was performed. The study retrospectively analyzes the period 2006-2017 and prospectively the period 2018-2019.

The exclusion criteria were: abdominal/thoraco-abdominal wounds with splenic involvement, patients who underwent any operative abdominal intervention before angioembolization (AE), patients presented with burns and patients declared dead on arrival or who died within 24 hours after trauma.

All analyzed patients had an initial abdomino-pelvic CT examination with IVCA (blunt abdominal trauma) or cerebral and thoraco-abdomino-pelvic (in case of polytrauma).

All patients who were stable enough underwent a simultaneous dual-phase (arterial and portal phase) CT scan of the thorax, abdomen, and pelvis.

Abdominal CT examination determined:
- splenic lesion grade (AAST OIS 1994 classification:9, completed with the one revised in 2018-10) (Tables 1, 2);
- presence of intrasplenic posttraumatic vascular lesions: active extravasation of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade*</th>
<th>Injury type</th>
<th>Description of injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Subcapsular &lt;10% of surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Capsular tear &lt; 1 cm parenchymal depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Subcapsular 10-50% of surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intraparenchymal &lt; 5 cm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Capsular tear 1-3 cm parenchymal depth, not involving trabecular vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Subcapsular &gt; 50% of surface area or expanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ruptured subcapsular or parenchymal hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intraparenchymal hematoma ≥ 5 cm or expanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>&gt;3 cm parenchymal depth or involving trabecular vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Laceration involving segmental or hilar vessels, producing major devascularization (&gt; 25% of spleen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Shattered spleen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Hilar vascular injury that devascularizes spleen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Advance one grade for multiple injuries up to grade III
Table 2. Spleen Organ Injury Scale – 2018 Revision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AAST grade</th>
<th>AIS severity</th>
<th>Imaging criteria (CT findings)</th>
<th>Operative criteria</th>
<th>Pathologic criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma &lt; 10% surface area - Parenchymal laceration &lt; 1 cm depth - Capsular tear</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma &lt; 10% surface area - Parenchymal laceration &lt; 1 cm depth - Capsular tear</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma &lt; 10% surface area - Parenchymal laceration &lt; 1 cm depth - Capsular tear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma 10-50% surface area; parenchymal laceration 1-3 cm depth</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma 10-50% surface area; parenchymal laceration 1-3 cm depth</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma 10-50% surface area; parenchymal laceration 1-3 cm depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma &gt;50% surface area; ruptured subcapsular or intraparenchymal hematoma &gt; 3 cm depth</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma &gt;50% surface area; ruptured subcapsular or intraparenchymal hematoma &gt; 3 cm depth</td>
<td>- Subcapsular hematoma &gt;50% surface area; ruptured subcapsular or intraparenchymal hematoma &gt; 3 cm depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>- Any injury in the presence of a splenic vascular injury or active bleeding confined within splenic capsule - Parenchymal laceration involving segmental or hilar vessels producing &gt; 25% devascularization</td>
<td>- Parenchymal laceration involving segmental or hilar vessels producing &gt; 25% devascularization</td>
<td>- Parenchymal laceration involving segmental or hilar vessels producing &gt; 25% devascularization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>- Any injury in the presence of splenic vascular injury with active bleeding extending beyond the spleen into the peritoneum - Shattered spleen</td>
<td>- Hilar vascular injury which devascularizes the spleen - Shattered spleen</td>
<td>- Hilar vascular injury which devascularizes the spleen - Shattered spleen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vascular injury is defined as a pseudoaneurysm or arteriovenous fistula and appears as a focal collection of vascular contrast that decreases in attenuation with delayed imaging. Active bleeding from a vascular injury presents as vascular contrast, focal or diffuse, that increases in size or attenuation in delayed phase. Vascular thrombosis can lead to organ infarction.

Grade based on highest grade assessment made on imaging, at operation or on pathologic specimen.

More than one grade of splenic injury may be present and should be classified by the higher grade of injury.

Advance one grade for multiple injuries up to a grade III.

IVCA, PSA, AVF, vessel truncation; associated visceral lesions; estimation of hemoperitoneum volume.

Minimal hemoperitoneum was defined as intra-abdominal blood located only in the perisplenic area, while a significant hemoperitoneum is exceeding the perisplenic area. We used two methods for the appraisement of hemoperitoneum:

- Classification of hemoperitoneum, taking into account 7 intraperitoneal spaces: right subphrenic, left subphrenic, subhepatic, right paracolic, left paracolic, pelvis, intramesenteric (11,12).
  - 1-2 intraperitoneal spaces = small (250 ml);
  - 2-4 intraperitoneal spaces = moderate (250-500 ml);
  - > 4 intraperitoneal spaces = large (> 500 ml).

- CT classification of hemoperitoneum by Hagiwara (13):
  - 0: absent hemoperitoneum;
  - 1+, present in a single anatomical area (hepatorenal, perihepatic, perisplenic);
  - 2+, ≥ 2 areas (parieto-colic gutters, lateral paravesical).

In general, patients haemodynamically stable enough to come to the interventional suite and without another indication for laparotomy, with contrast extravasation or pseudoaneurysm on CT scan, are considered for AE in our unit: patients with grade IV or V injuries without contrast extravasation or pseudoaneurysm, patients with ongoing transfusion requirements, and transient responders to fluid resuscitation are also considered for AE. Management decisions are made on a case-by-case scenario. AE is performed in the interventional radiology theatre under local or general anesthesia.

**Angiographic Procedures Were Classified Into:**

- 3+, the entire pelvis;
- presence of associated intra-abdominal lesions.

**Interventional Radiology in Splenic Trauma: If Not Now, Then When?**

- diagnosis (DA diagnostic angiography) and
- therapeutic (SAE splenic angioembolization), aiming the
- indication of embolization;
SAE technique: proximal (PSAE), distal (DSAE), combined, repeated; the material used for AE.

The SAE indication was established by the interventional radiologist together with the chief surgeon of the trauma team and the choice of the modality and the material used for the procedure belonged to the interventional radiologist.

Patients undergoing NOM were monitored with observations performed hourly initially. Serial abdominal examinations were conducted at least twice a day. Failure of NOM was considered as new haemodynamic instability resulting from further splenic bleeding; these patients would undergo an intervention, either AE or splenectomy. Failure of AE was considered as a new haemodynamic instability resulting from further splenic bleeding and necessitating either further attempted AE or splenectomy.

In the cases in which the post-procedural CT examination was repeated, the following were followed:
- diagnosis of a complication (infarction/splenic abscess, pleural effusion);
- persistence of the initial vascular lesion or the appearance of a new lesion(s).

Follow-up imaging was only performed if clinically indicated; the clinical deterioration required the repetition of the CT examination (post-procedural complication, continuation of bleeding).

The following definitions are used to clarify the terminology used:
- isolated splenic injury = single abdominal lesion/in the absence of plurisystemic lesions that influence the prognosis.
- low splenic lesion grade = grade 1,2;
- severe splenic lesion grade = grade 3,4,5;
- immediate laparotomy = performed in the first 24 hours after trauma;
- delayed laparotomy = performed more than 24 hours after admission;
- NOM = intentional observation of a demonstrated post-traumatic splenic injury;
- NOM failure = patient with delayed laparotomy performed after 3 stable hematocrit determinations/24 hours; the need for surgery in a patient treated non-operatively with / without SAE;
- clinical/paraclinical evidence of persistent hemorrhage requiring laparotomy for hemostasis or delayed diagnosis of a major intra-abdominal lesion;
- stable hematocrit = initial and tertiary measurement without differences greater than / equal to 3%;
- polytrauma / severe trauma = ISS> 17
- successful NOM = patient discharged with the spleen "in situ" and without the indication of a splenic surgery at the most recent clinical control;
- initial SAE = angioembolization performed in the first 12 hours after hospitalization;
- technical failure of angioembolization = impossibility of cannulation and embolization of the splenic artery; the experience of the interventional radiologist decreases this risk.

Radiological "hard signs" are defined as the presence of contrast extravasation or pseudoaneurysm on the initial CT scan. Haemodynamic instability on admission was defined as pulse rate of 120 beats per minute or more or systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg with the presence of skin vessels vasoconstriction (cold, sweaty extremities, decreased capillary refilling), altered consciousness and possible tachypnea; patients with transient haemodynamic instability should be considered haemodynamically unstable.

**Study Endpoints**

The main objective was to evaluate the effectiveness of splenic embolization in the control of post-traumatic bleeding and to evaluate its safety in terms of the adverse effects of the method. The main endpoints of the study were: post-traumatic mortality, the need for surgery (laparoscopic/classic) to resolve splenic bleeding, the number of days of hospitalization, the need for hospitalization for more than 1 day in the ICU, the day when the platelet count began to increase, the
evolution of laboratory parameters (hospitalization, preangiography, postangiography / embolization, discharge). A secondary endpoint of the study was the frequency of complications that did not require surgery.

The purpose of the analysis was the splenic rescue, being studied the degree of lesion, the volume of hemoperitoneum and the presence of intrasplenic vascular lesions.

The variables monitored and analyzed were: age, sex, etiology of trauma, injury mechanism, presence / absence of shock, shock index value, GCS, degree of splenic injury/hemoperitoneum, applied treatment, associated lesions, morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay.

International trauma guidelines (EAST, WTA) and clinical judgment / assessment have been the basis for therapeutic decisions.

Splenic salvage was considered as patient discharge with the spleen in situ.

Statistical Analysis


Categorical data were analysed with Chi-square tests and Fisher’s exact test when expected frequencies in contingency tables were below five. Differences in demographic and clinical characteristics between patients with or without SAE or DA were determined by χ2 tests for categorical variables and either t-tests for continuous variables, depending on the skewness of their distribution. The Smirnov-Kolmogorov test is similar to Chi-square test. This test was performed in order to verify if the variables fit the same probability law. The significance level considered was 95% (alpha=0.05) We did not verified if the variables were normal distributed.

Results

In the Department of Surgery of the Bucharest Emergency Clinical Hospital, in the period 2006-2019, diagnostic splenic angiography (DSA) and SAE were performed in 64 patients.

During the mentioned period in 64 patients treated nonoperatively, diagnostic angiography was performed (27 cases, group B) or therapeutic angiography (37 cases, group A).

During the mentioned period we observed the following tendencies: the constant increase of the proportion of patients treated nonoperatively, an initial increase of the cases in which the splenic interventional angiography was performed and the decrease of the cases in which the emergency splenectomy was performed.

The decrease in cases in which splenic interventional angiography was performed is explained by the lack of an internal therapeutic protocol, the decision of trauma surgeons and technical problems at the Interventional Radiology Laboratory (deficiencies in the existence of necessary catheters /microcatheters and embolization materials).

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 3.

It is observed that there were patients from all age categories, the averages in the two groups revealing that the typical patient is a young-middle-aged adult.

27% of cases were ≥ 55 years old (55-81 years old), the predominance of males being obvious (63%). The result supports the findings of other studies that highlight the increase in the number of traumatized patients in old age.

There is a homogeneity/similarity of the distribution by sex between the two groups, with the predominance of males, a characteristic of traumatic pathologies.

From the point of view of the injury mechanism, we analyzed whether it was a road accident or other causes; in patients in group A, the etiology of the road accident was twice as common.

The median average value of the ISS was 19.0 [4.00, 50.0], which highlights the severity of the cases 72% of cases with an ISS ≥ 16. The median value of the shock index (0.800 [0.500, 1.80]) places these cases in the second class, average shock.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Demographics</th>
<th>Group A (n=37)</th>
<th>Group B (n=27)</th>
<th>Global (n=64)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median, (IQR), y</td>
<td>37.0 [12.0, 78.0]</td>
<td>42.0 [13.0, 81.0]</td>
<td>38.0 [12.0, 81.0]</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD, y</td>
<td>39.9 ± 18.9</td>
<td>43.8 ± 17.1</td>
<td>41.5 ± 18.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (62.2%)</td>
<td>17 (63.0%)</td>
<td>40 (62.5%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury mechanism, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (13.5%)</td>
<td>2 (7.4%)</td>
<td>7 (10.9%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVC, pedestrian struck</td>
<td>32 (86.5%)</td>
<td>25 (92.6%)</td>
<td>57 (89.1%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury characteristics, ISS, median (IQR)</td>
<td>19.0 [4.00, 50.00]</td>
<td>21.0 [5.00, 41.0]</td>
<td>19.0 [4.00, 50.00]</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td>20.8 ± 10.8</td>
<td>23.0 ± 9.92</td>
<td>21.7 ± 10.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Clinical Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED SBP, median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED HR, median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED shock index,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED GCS mean (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAST injury grade, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of hemoperitoneum n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagiwara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment failure, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-abdominal surgery, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamic shock, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAST OIS 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The splenic lesion grade AAST-OIS showed an average value of 2.95. There were 14 cases of minor injuries (grade I-4 cases, grade II-10 cases) and 50 cases of severe injuries (grade III-36 cases; grade IV-13 cases; grade V -1 case).

The predominance of grades 3 (56%) and 4 (20%) is observed, SAE being performed in 32 cases (50%). From the table it is observed that the low degrees of rupture were characteristic of group B, while the high degrees of rupture were characteristic of group A.

Applying the revised AAST-OIS classification (2018), the lesion grade increased, the number of cases for each grade being (Table 4):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>AAST 1994 (N)*</th>
<th>AAST 2018 (N)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(N) – number of cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>AAST 1994 (N)*</th>
<th>AAST 2018 (N)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, the grade of splenic lesion advanced by 1 unit: grade 3 → 4: 10 cases; grade 4 → 5: 3 cases; grade 2 → 3: 1 case or with 2-3 units: grade 3 → 5: 4 cases; grade 2 → 4: 1 case; grade 2 → 5: 1 case. Globally, retroactively applying the new AAST-OIS classification, 20 cases have progressed lesion emphasizing the importance of the presence of active bleeding and intrasplenic vascular lesions.

The evaluation of the degree of hemoperitoneum was performed by the classical method (11,12) and by the one described by Hagiwara (13,14) in order to be able to appreciate its value as accurately as possible.

In group A (angioembolization) there was a higher frequency of significant intraperitoneal hemorrhages, while the mean intraperitoneal hemorrhages predominated in group B (diagnostic angiography), a fact confirmed in both classifications used.

Given the characteristics of the two distributions (group A and group B), for the comparison of the severity of splenic traumas (AAST-OIS) a replacement sampling procedure (bootstrap) was used for the difference of the two averages of the distributions (SE is the average error, IC95% was calculated as the difference between the 97.5% and 2.5% quantiles of the bootstrap distribution). The differences were statistically significant (p <0.001), in patients in group A the trauma was more severe.

The analysis of hemodynamic parameters at hospitalization (SBP-systolic blood pressure and ventricular rate-VR) revealed normal values, a finding that emphasizes a correct indication of the procedures (hemodynamically stable patients at the time of indication/performing the interventional radiological procedure).

Laboratory examinations (Table 3) were studied in 4 moments: at admission, before the radiological procedure, post-procedural and discharge: practically the average values of these parameters (hemoglobin) decreased from the average value of 11.4 g / dl (±2.28) at admission to 9.98 g / dl (±1.78) postprocedural reduction also found in the values of hematocrit and platelets with a stabilization of them at discharge.

A first analysis investigated the clinical-hemodynamic evolution of patients with splenic trauma, separately on each batch, using a comparison between in-patient Hb values and Hb values before performing angiography. As measurements were performed in the same patient at different times, the test used was Paired T (T test for correlated samples), which revealed that Hb values before angiography were statistically significantly lower compared to the time of hospitalization, indicating an evolution of bleeding in these patients (p < 0.001). The comparison between the pre-angiography Hb values and the post-angiography Hb values for each group (the inferential test was Paired T) showed that there were no differences with statistical significance, the evolution of bleeding seemed to be stopped (p = 0.290 for group A, p = 0.181 for group B).

The comparison between the Hb values Post-Angiography with the Hb values at discharge, for each group (inferential test Paired T) revealed that there were no differences
### Table 5. Levels of laboratory examinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. ED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemoglobin</td>
<td>mean ± SD, g/dl 11.8 ± 2.18</td>
<td>11.0 ± 2.40</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], g/dl 11.9 [6.30, 15.7]</td>
<td>11.3 [4.50, 14.3]</td>
<td>11.8 [4.50, 15.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematocrit</td>
<td>mean ± SD, % 35.6 ± 7.55</td>
<td>33.6 ± 6.85</td>
<td>34.7 ± 7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], % 36.7 [14.9, 54.0]</td>
<td>34.9 [14.7, 42.7]</td>
<td>36.1 [14.7, 54.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leucocytes</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 12.8 ± 5.05</td>
<td>14.9 ± 6.07</td>
<td>13.7 ± 5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platelets</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 219 ± 66.3</td>
<td>262 ± 112</td>
<td>236 ± 89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], /mm³ 211 [74.0, 372]</td>
<td>229 [41.0, 543]</td>
<td>222 [41.0, 543]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Before Angio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemoglobin</td>
<td>mean ± SD, g/dl 10.5 ± 2.23</td>
<td>9.79 ± 2.38</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], g/dl 10.7 [6.10, 14.0]</td>
<td>9.50 [4.60, 13.7]</td>
<td>10.3 [4.60, 14.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematocrit</td>
<td>mean ± SD, % 31.9 ± 6.56</td>
<td>29.4 ± 6.62</td>
<td>30.9 ± 6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], % 32.7 [19.3, 41.9]</td>
<td>29.0 [15.3, 41.2]</td>
<td>31.4 [15.3, 41.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leucocytes</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 10.8 ± 4.17</td>
<td>12.1 ± 6.36</td>
<td>11.3 ± 5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platelets</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 200 ± 70.1</td>
<td>222 ± 118</td>
<td>209 ± 92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], /mm³ 186 [73.0, 363]</td>
<td>196 [32.0, 568]</td>
<td>188 [32.0, 568]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. After Angio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemoglobin</td>
<td>mean ± SD, g/dl 10.2 ± 1.87</td>
<td>9.52 ± 1.53</td>
<td>9.98 ± 1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], g/dl 10.4 [6.00, 14.7]</td>
<td>9.20 [7.80, 12.9]</td>
<td>9.70 [6.00, 14.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematocrit</td>
<td>mean ± SD, % 31.0 ± 5.35</td>
<td>29.2 ± 4.51</td>
<td>30.4 ± 5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], % 32.3 [17.8, 42.7]</td>
<td>28.4 [23.5, 39.7]</td>
<td>29.6 [17.8, 42.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leucocytes</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 196 ± 63.4</td>
<td>197 ± 110</td>
<td>196 ± 80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], /mm³ 186 [86.0, 356]</td>
<td>177 [58.0, 416]</td>
<td>165 [58.0, 416]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platelets</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 2.26 ± 1.24</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.968</td>
<td>2.56 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], day 2.00 [1.00, 6.00]</td>
<td>3.00 [2.00, 5.00]</td>
<td>2.00 [1.00, 6.00]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Increased platelets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemoglobin</td>
<td>mean ± SD, g/dl 10.5 ± 1.49</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.46</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], g/dl 10.6 [8.00, 14.7]</td>
<td>10.1 [8.00, 13.0]</td>
<td>10.5 [8.00, 14.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematocrit</td>
<td>mean ± SD, % 32.0 ± 4.24</td>
<td>30.7 ± 4.08</td>
<td>31.5 ± 4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], % 32.4 [24.2, 42.7]</td>
<td>30.6 [24.3, 39.0]</td>
<td>31.2 [24.2, 42.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leucocytes</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 11.5 ± 4.13</td>
<td>8.74 ± 2.21</td>
<td>10.4 ± 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], /mm³ 11.1 [4.70, 18.1]</td>
<td>9.50 [4.80, 12.3]</td>
<td>10.0 [4.70, 18.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platelets</td>
<td>mean ± SD, /mm³ 427 ± 220</td>
<td>318 ± 127</td>
<td>386 ± 196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median, [IQR], /mm³ 346 [106, 894]</td>
<td>300 [114, 572]</td>
<td>332 [106, 894]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with statistical significance, in patients there were no hemorrhagic complications during hospitalization (p = 0.309 for group A, p = 0.268 for group B). The analysis showed that, for both methods of therapy, active bleeding was stopped, so the methods were effective for splenic trauma.

Finally, the days of hospitalization were analyzed according to the period of hospitalization in the Department of Surgery, Intensive Care Unit to which was added the total period of hospitalization. The median duration of hospitalization was 11.0 [4.00, 63.0] days.

Angiographic findings showed:
- active bleeding: 10 cases;
- active bleeding + splenic inhomogeneity: 9 cases;
- active bleeding + splenic inhomogeneity + AVF: 1 case;
- splenic inhomogeneity: 32 cases;
- normal splenic appearance: 12 cases.

The indications for diagnostic angiography were represented by:
- extravasation of the extraor intra-splenic contrast agent in the hemodynamically stable patient;
- intrasplenic vascular lesions: PSA and AVF;
- splenic injuries grade 3, 4, 5;
- unexplained decrease in hematocrit in the absence of other lesions;
- large hemoperitoneum;
- aggravation of the CT initial image.

SAE was performed in 37 cases (group A) and diagnostic splenic angiography in 27 cases (group B). The decision to perform the SAE belonged exclusively to the interventional radiologist.

In patients in group A, PSAE was performed in 19 cases and DSAE in 18 cases using:
- temporary embolic materials: Gelfoam® (Gelfoam, Pfizer, New York, NY) in 25 cases or TachoSil® in 11 cases;
- definitive embolic materials: metallic coils in 1 case (Figs. 1, 2).

In 17 cases, multiple angiography was performed (in the same session) (Table 6).

Repetition of splenic angiography was
performed in 3 cases in which MRI examination revealed the appearance of postprocedural PSA.

There were 13 minor complications (fever, low-grade fever) in the post-embolization syndrome and 18 major complications (9 pleurisy, 6 respiratory infections, 1 urinary tract infection, 1 postprocedural splenic pseudocyst and 1 case of sepsis with positive blood cultures), in total 48% complications (54% of these belonged to group A). Although the percentage of complications was higher in group A of patients, the bidirectional $\chi^2$ (chi-square) test for two independent proportions showed that the difference is without statistical significance ($\chi^2 = 1.10$, degrees of freedom = 1, $p = 0.292$).

Procedural failures accounted for 5% (3 cases): continued bleeding (1 case) which required exploratory laparotomy, splenectomy and pancreatography; lack of angiographic evidence of splenic hemorrhage (1 case) solved by exploratory laparoscopy and application of a local hemostatic and a technical failure (impossibility of splenic artery catheterization) solved by splenectomy.

Fisher’s exact bidirectional test for two independent proportions showed that the difference is of no statistical significance ($p = 0.568$).

Finally, we performed a comparison between the performance of different diagnostic methods for post-traumatic bleeding in the splenic level. Angiography (considered a gold standard) and CT examination were compared. The comparison included a raw analysis, and one using the Cohen kappa statistical method, and showed the superiority of angiography in diagnosing active splenic hemorrhage.

Discussion

Undiagnosed / late diagnosed posttraumatic abdominal arterial hemorrhage is the main cause of the unfavorable evolution of patients. The leading cause of death in traumatized patients within the first 24 hours of admission (15) remains the continuous / persistent bleeding. The solution is represented by early diagnosis and therapy by endovascular techniques, laparotomy or a combination of these (16). The decision must be made quickly and is based on clinical experience / insight and recognition of the lesion pattern.

According to the same author (16) there are important trauma centers that consider arterial embolization a component of primary resuscitation, interventional radiology requiring a multidisciplinary approach in which the trauma surgeon and radiologist must understand the indications and limitations of the procedure. By embolization, an intentional and controlled vascular obliteration is performed in order to stop an arterial hemorrhage (17).

The concept of ”splenic angiographic hemostasis” was first introduced by Sclafani (18) in 1981.

Currently, it is considered that angiography (diagnostic and therapeutic) is part of the NOM of splenic trauma (19-23).

Angiographic procedures are diagnostic and therapeutic, the latter being performed with the intention of vascular embolization and stopping hemorrhage. Digital subtraction angiography allows a quick and accurate diagnosis, representing the trauma standard (24), the method reducing the time required for examination, decreasing the amount of IVCA used and the irradiation dose.

SAE increased NOM success by stopping splenic hemorrhage and preventing DSR (25,26) and reduced the risk of splenectomy by more than 18% (27,28), a fact recently confirmed by Frandon (29).

The procedure is used in hemodynamically stable / easily stabilizable patients under close surgical supervision and with the possibility of surgery at any time. A particular advantage of angiography is the possibility of treating other concomitant vascular lesions (including stent implants). It should be understood from the outset that AE is a procedure that requires time and an increased volume of IVCA.

The selective use of SAE in patients treated nonoperatively reduced the failure of this method to 2-4% (30-33).

Currently, the use of SAE has led to a
decrease in splenic surgery (26), the frequency of emergency interventions decreasing from 33.3% to 11.9% after the introduction of this method (34) and from 55% to 30% (28), respectively. According to Carlotto (35) AES is an independent predictive factor for splenic preservation in patients selected for NOM. However, only 5-7% of patients with splenic contusions require angioembolization (2).

The disadvantages of the method are represented by: difficult monitoring and resuscitation (in the Angiography Laboratory), the need for the presence of the interventional radiology doctor, time consuming procedure (with the risk of a possible hemodynamic decompensation of the patient), the possibility of an increased volume of CA (risk of induced nephropathy of IVCA).

Bauer (24) highlights the differences between traumatized patients and those with non-traumatic pathology requiring angiography / AE: young, blood vessels without pathological changes, smaller diameter, increased possibility of vascular spasm, more frequent hemodynamic compensation, the need for increased volume of CA due to increased cardiac output secondary to hypovolemia, the risk of low contrast nephropathy (even in conditions where CT with IVCA was previously performed), the presence of coagulopathy.

However, the role of SAE in severe contusive lesions (AIS> 2; AAST grade ≥ 3) is controversial(36). His study included 10,405 contusive splenic traumas in the period 2007-2010 (267 Level I and II Trauma Centers in the USA). Embolization of severe splenic lesions (grade IV), even in the absence of "contrast blush", increases splenic preservation (Jacksonville study, Florida-37,38). Moreover, early SAE (within the first 6 hours of hospitalization) is associated with splenic rescue.

Mechanisms of Hemostasis

They differ depending on the type of material used for embolization: temporary or permanent.

- metal spirals: they induce thrombocytosis and do not cause mechanical obstruction (16); are useful in proximal embolization and in the “sandwich” technique (isolated intrasplenic pseudoaneurysms, arteriovenous fistula);
- Gelfoam: temporary embolizing agent: released intravascularly follows the blood flow, occluding the vessel; causes thrombosis by contact with platelets by capturing them in its porous structure and accelerating thrombus development; provides structural support for the thrombus (39); arterial recanalization occurs in 2-3 weeks (16), with variations between 3 weeks-4 months (17). Advantages: cheap, easy to prepare, temporary agent; Disadvantages: rebleeding is possible due to the fact that the particles do not have uniform dimensions (17); can cause infection / abscess by its ability to retain gas bubbles (39).

Bauer (24) also highlights the differences between traumatized patients and those with non-traumatic pathology requiring angiography / AE:

- young, blood vessels without pathological changes, smaller diameter, increased possibility of vascular spasm, more frequent hemodynamic compensation, the need for increased volume of CA due to increased cardiac output secondary to hypovolemia, the risk of low contrast nephropathy (even in conditions where CT with IVCA was previously performed), the presence of coagulopathy.

However, the role of SAE in severe contusive lesions (AIS> 2; AAST grade ≥ 3) is controversial(36). His study included 10,405 contusive splenic traumas in the period 2007-2010 (267 Level I and II Trauma Centers in the USA). Embolization of severe splenic lesions (grade IV), even in the absence of "contrast blush", increases splenic preservation (Jacksonville study, Florida-37,38). Moreover, early SAE (within the first 6 hours of hospitalization) is associated with splenic rescue.

Tomographically diagnosed post-traumatic vascular lesions (MDCTangiography)

The recent AAST guidelines - 2018 (10,41) included splenic vascular lesions (active
extravasation of the contrast agent, pseudoaneurysm, arterio-venous fistula) in the CT imaging criteria for the classification of post-traumatic lesions:

- grade IV: vascular injury or active intracapsular bleeding;
- grade V: vascular lesion or extensive extracapsular active bleeding.

The vascular lesions highlighted by this method are represented by (16,19,24,42,43,44):

- extravasation of the extra- or intra-splenic contrast agent; (increased risk of SAE failure) (45): the extravasation of the intrasplenic contrast agent is represented by a persistent “blush” that appears before the venous phase and does not disappear in the parenchymal phase.
- multiple areas of extravasation of IVCA.
- PSA: intraparenchymal sacular collection delimited with a density similar to the adjacent vessels, without evidence of blood extravasation.

Omert et al. (46) considers the presence of “contrast blush” a frequent finding in severe splenic lesions, this not representing (by itself) an operative indication; is defined as an accumulation of intraparenchymal or extracapsular localized contrast material found on early or late tomographic images.

The indications for splenic angiography are (27,33,45,47):

- splenic injuries grade 3, 4, 5;
- vascular lesions found at CT initially regardless of the degree of lesion;
- active bleeding at the CT examination or “contrast blush” in the hemodynamically stable patient;
- inexplicable decrease of Ht in the absence of other lesions;
- large hemoperitoneum.

**Angiographic findings**

Conventional angiography accurately diagnoses splenic vascular lesions represented by PSA, AVF, active bleeding, complete vascular section (23).

- located PSA;
- free extravasation of contrast agent;
- vascular lesions of terminals arteries: upper, lower, upper and lower or middle artery (complete vascular section - “cut-off”): it is highlighted angiographically as a complete vascular sectioning or a persistent arterial filling visible on late images secondary to lack of blood flow (48);
- vascular occlusion: most commonly performed by thrombus. Vascular injury can be represented by section, spasm, damage to the intima. Posttraumatic coagulopathy can prevent the formation of a new thrombus after resorption of the previous one so that a secondary hemorrhage is possible;
- intraparenchymal arterio-venous fistula;
- displacement of vascular branches secondary to the subcapsular hematoma;
- variable degree of lack of vascularization and irregularities in the accumulation of contrast substance (includes Seurat spleen = small, punctiform, well-defined, localized or diffuse collections of contrast substance).

When there are discrepancies between angioCT and angiography it is important to determine whether they are secondary to stopping arterial bleeding or vasospasm (which masks the arterial lesion). The release of spasmogens (by lysis of blood clots) and the inflammatory response of the damaged vascular wall explain vasospasm (Macdonald, Dietrich cit. Liao 49).

To clarify the situation, “stimulated” angiography is useful (16) by administering Nitroglycerin; if doubts persist, prophylactic embolization is recommended. The presence of venous hemorrhage requires surgery.

In some patients there may be multiple sources of intrasplenic haemorrhage but, secondary to vasospasm induced by traumatic injury, these are not highlighted which may lead to post-procedural rebleeding in DSAE (50).

Liu (51) classified angiographic findings in splenic trauma into 4 groups:
- group 1: extravasation of extrasplenic IVCA;
- group 2: intraparenchymal IVCA extravasation;
- group 3: irregularities of the terminal arteries without IVCA extravasation;
- group 4: variable degrees of avascularity and irregularity in the accumulation of IVCA.

Clinically, SAE should be performed as early as possible after detection of extravasation of the contrast agent (on abdominal CT examination) and before hemodynamic deterioration occurs (47).

The current recommendations are:
- SAE should be performed within the first 60 minutes of hospitalization in hemodynamically stable patients with IVCA extravasation on CT examination;
- in 15-30 minutes after admission in the presence of a large hemoperitoneum (52).

SAE indications (28,39,53) are:
- extravasation of IVCA/ blush / PSA / A VF at CT examination;
- severe splenic lesion grade (III-V);
- medium, large hemoperitoneum (defined as blood accumulation in both upper quadrants and pelvis): in patients with hemodynamic stability.

According to Schurr (54) and Bhullar (37,38), the presence of "contrast blush" increases the risk of NOM failure 22-24 times.

Depending on the lesion “pattern”, splenic angioembolization (SAE) (23,55) can be performed:
- distal (supralselective): performs the isolation of the damaged vessel, maintains normal blood flow for an important splenic area but requires increased time for performance and special technical skills (50,56). It also involves the use of a higher amount of contrast agent (risk of nephropathy) and a higher dose of irradiation (57), proportional to the difficulty of performing the procedure (58).

It is indicated in localized vascular lesions (vascular truncation, PSA, focal extravasation) (55,59).

By occluding segmental branches, which are terminal arteries, the risk of segmental splenic infarction / abscess may be increased.

- proximal (trunk of the splenic artery, 2 cm distal from the origin of the dorsal pancreatic artery): is performed with metal spirals or absorbable hemostatic materials (Gelfoam-Pharmacia, Kalamazoo, MI; TachoSil) and causes hemostasis by decreasing arterial blood flow (deviated by collaterals) (60) and distal intra-splenic systolic pressure (on average by 40 mmHg - 61) thus facilitating the organization of clots and healing of the lesion (55) (favorable for intrinsic coagulation - 62); prevents a delayed splenic rupture (50,58).

The viability of the spleen is ensured by collateral circulation (branches of the left gastric artery, gastro-epiploic arteries, omental, pancreatic, short gastric arteries) fact demonstrated by experimental animal studies (63, Anderson cit. Zmora - 64).

In human studies, a reduction in intrasplenic pressure was found between 47-58% (Bessoud cit. Zmora - 63). Requarth (65) found after AESP a decrease in systolic pressure in the distal artery by an average of 67% (significantly lower in patients with celiac trunk stenosis), which could explain the delayed postprocedural bleeding.

Splenic blood flow is maintained through the collateral vessels, which prevents splenic infarction, the development of splenic abscess and maintains immune function (50,55,57, 59,63).

Sclafani (31) considers that this procedure is compatible with maintaining splenic immune function and even if surgery is necessary, spleno-rrhaphy is facilitated. Proximal SAE is faster, technically easier, is associated with lower NOM failures and a lower incidence of postprocedural complications (abscess, splenic infarction) (20,25,26,46, 66,67).

Being a fast procedure it is very useful in trauma when the hemodynamic stability of the patient can change quickly and at the same time allows other procedures to be performed: reduces the radiation dose (55).

According to van der Vlies (26) and Imbrogno (57), the only disadvantage of proximal SAE is
that, in the event of distal rebleeding, a possible supraselective embolization is difficult/technically impossible, and collateral embolization is necessary to perform a DSAE.

No significant differences were found regarding the effectiveness of these two techniques (68).

- Compound
The decision to perform PSAE after DSAE depends on the lesion pattern, the patient's condition, local practices and the preference of the interventionist radiologist (55). In the presence of multifocal lesions and angiographically objectified splenic ram lesion PSAE will be performed immediately after DSAE: In the case of a single lesion with angiographic correlation, only DSAE (58) is indicated, keeping PSAE for a possible rebleeding.

Explanation of this indication: some vascular lesions cannot be identified on initial angiography and may cause bleeding after the disappearance of vasospasm. However, this combined approach leads to a higher percentage of complications (58.8%) compared to PSAE (18.2%) or DSAE (28.7%) (39).

Our study looked at 37 cases with SAE, 19 with PSAE and 18 with DSAE. There were a total of 32 complications after SAE (86.48% from the group A); 15 complications after PSAE (3 major complications: 1 postprocedural bleeding splenectomy, 1 pseudocyst, 1 splenic atrophy) and no major complications after DSAE. Globally we had 9.37% major complications and 90.62% minor complications including: fever, pleural effusion, pneumonia and partial splenic infarction. We didn't had access site complications and we didn't record any deaths. The preference of our interventional radiologists is to perform DSAE whenever possible.

The most recent studies on this topic (38,69,70,71) present the following final indications of SAE:
- “contrast blush” at the initial CT examination;
- splenic lesion grade IV-V established at the initial CT examination;
- moderate hemoperitoneum;
- decrease in Hb values after hospitalization, during TNO (persistent hemorrhage).

The authors point out that the indication of SAE often implies the simultaneous presence of several mentioned factors. Their recommendation is to perform angioembolization in all cases of grade IV or V splenic ruptures, regardless of the presence / absence of other findings and its selective use for lesions grades I-III only if it has "contrast blush" or decreased Hb during NOM.

In patients with tomographic changes but with normal angiography, the indication for SAE is unclear.

Our practice is to strongly consider angiography in patients who have AAST grade III injury associated with medium or large hemoperitoneum.

In patients at high risk it is indicated prophylactic PSAE (50,61,72,73) with the following indications:
- over the age of 50;
- large hemoperitoneum;
- polytraumatized;
- which requires prolonged surgical procedures in other regions (orthopedic, neurosurgical) that increase the risk of hemorrhage and secondary aggravation of injuries (especially brain).

According to Thony (72), prophylactic splenic embolization in severe lesions without CT signs of bleeding is a specific indication for this organ.

Prophylactic embolization reduces the frequency of splenectomy by 16% -18% (27,28).

The limitations of this study are represented by: it is a retrospective analysis, there was no randomization of patients, the lack of a therapeutic protocol (which determined the inconsistent performance of the procedures) and the sample size of both study groups is still small.

Emergency Hospital Bucharest represents a landmark in traumatology in Romania, the purpose of its existence being represented by solving surgical emergencies.

The first case of splenic angioembolization (for trauma) in Romania was performed in Emergency Hospital Bucharest in 2010 (74),
followed by another priority of our Institution, the first SAE of a grade IV blunt splenic trauma (75). Curently we perform splenic angioembolizations in grade V injuries as well.

Conclusions

Transcatheter splenic artery embolization has a major role in the management of traumatic splenic injuries. Splenic angioembolization should be strongly considered as an adjunct to NOM in patients with AAST Grade III, Grade IV and Grade V blunt splenic injuries and in Grades I and II only in the cases with splenic vascular lesions or a large hemoperitoneum. Our results reveal a crossover from splenectomy to SAE, as a primary treatment modality for blunt splenic injuries. In our institution SAE remains a mainstay in the treatment of patients suffering blunt trauma to the spleen.
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